Summary of Comments from June 10 and 11, 2009 Public Scoping Meetings

Project Need

- 1. Consider statewide demand for energy in determining need for project
- 2. Demonstrate need for power in this region
- 3. Why is ODEC taking on more need assuming control of additional grid?
- 4. Analysis of data used for future demand projections
- 5. Effect of loss of Northern Virginia Electric Cooperative on future demands
- 6. Are current transmission lines sufficient?
- 7. Can present grid support more electricity generation without grid expansion?
- 8. Business model?

Alternatives

- 1. Alternative energy sources
 - a. Renewable resources
 - i. 30,000 MW available
 - ii. Off-shore wind
 - iii. Solar
 - iv. Geothermal
 - v. Wave generation technology
 - vi. Combined heat and power
 - vii. Invest 6 Billion (money from plant) into solar and wind power
 - viii. Cost of renewable equivalent to coal plant
 - ix. Renewable energy sources will be less expensive than coal when factoring in carbon taxes in future
 - x. Social cost of coal versus wind and other technologies
 - b. Integration of different sources
 - c. Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC)
 - i. Will not emit harmful emissions
 - d. Natural gas combined cycle
 - e. Other fuel sources besides nuclear, coal, and natural gas

- f. Battery bank-store excess produced energy and store water generation facility/solar thermal storage
- 2. Energy Conservation
 - a. Large scale reduction through efficiency
 - i. How much energy need can be met through energy conservation
 - ii. Consider ACEEE study; if people use energy efficiently will not need new power plant
 - b. Public incentives for conservation
 - c. Free compact fluorescent bulbs for low income
 - d. Low percentage loans for people buying efficient, low energy heating/cooling systems
 - e. Incentives for buying energy efficient appliances
 - f. Incentives for LED lighting
 - g. Mandatory efficiency standards
 - h. Energy efficiency for businesses and commercial properties
 - i. Implementing system-wide energy efficient system- potential to shave off 27% of projected generation need by 2025.
 - j. Virginia Energy found 8,869 GW of efficiency for energy savings that are cost effective
 - k. Net metering rules and procedures to hook distributing generators up to the grid
 - 1. Price structuring and net metering
 - m. Incentives to homeowners who invest in distributing renewable
 - n. Supply side efficiency
 - i. Upgrade transmission lines, substations, etc.
 - ii. Improved grid efficiency
 - iii. Smart grid technology
 - iv. Cogeneration
- 3. Sites
 - a. Other sites reviewed
 - b. Possibility of building in Bacon's Castle area near nuclear plant or in Prince George near James River; can barge coal in on the James River
 - c. Explore Sussex site further
 - i. No town present near site
 - ii. Determine if transmission line issue can be overcome
 - iii. Sussex site is close to railroad; less impact to homes; water/sewer already in place; studies have already been done on that tract in the past
 - d. Other sites possible, using long-range transmission lines(550,000 volt lines)
- 4. General
 - a. Viability of coal in terms of cost in the future due to potential CO2 regulations
 - b. Cost analysis of a new reactor at nuclear power plant versus new coal plant
 - c. Buy from the grid

- d. Explore more progressive technologies; use the newest, most up-to-date technologies
- e. Use smaller, cheaper facilities
- f. Purchase from PJM
- g. Tap into other new plants-North Anna, Warren County
- 5. Combination of alternatives
- 6. Comparisons of data collected from existing power plants or other large projects:
 - a. In other parts of the country and VA / Wise County
 - b. Effects of replacing older power plants with newer, cleaner plant
 - c. Potential for mercury emission to be 30X amount of Wise County
 - d. Effects on property values at those sites
 - e. Detriments to environment from existing plants
- 7. Proposed Project Site Lay Out and Design
 - a. Justification for site location being shifted closer to human population (Dendron).
 - b. Aesthetics / fencing concerns materials, distance from roads overgrowth
 - c. Pedestrian traffic and general use of town with plant located at roadside
 - d. Storm water design / possibly inadequate
 - e. Plant being located 1100 feet from houses in Dendron
- 8. Avoidance and Minimization of Impacts
 - a. Using existing power lines
 - b. Use existing landfill (Sussex)
 - c. Power plant moved further off wetlands, but flyash landfill still in wetlands
- 9. Biomass
 - a. Yield and impacts
 - b. Potential for wood-burning plant only, since it is a renewable resource
 - c. Biomass accumulation prevention
 - d. Move emphasis on biomass as an alternative fuel

Air Quality

- 1. Byproducts of burning biomass; effects of switching fuels on pollution control devices
- 2. Change in emission levels over time due to types of coal and technology

- 3. Effectiveness of control measures due to local environmental conditions
- 4. Ozone
 - a. Additional inputs to nonattainment areas
 - b. Effects of additional emissions on redesignation of nonattainment areas to meet EPA's new criteria for 75 ppb ozone concentrations
 - c. Areas with existing or impending ozone quality nonattainment include Richmond/Petersburg area and Hampton Roads
 - d. Surface ozone effects on agriculture; crop impacts; potential for ground level ozone to reduce growth of plants; cost to farmer (NASA study)
 - e. Potential for Surry County to be bumped into nonattainment status for ozone
- 5. Acid rain and deposition-impacts on public health and infrastructure from sulfates and sulfur dioxides
- 6. Economic impacts of cumulative effects of air quality on other businesses
- 7. Emission efficiency of using a newer plant
- 8. Balance efficiency with health; choose healthier versus more efficient
- 9. Percentage of pollution going up stack and not captured
- 10. Potential for particulate matter in air pollution to cause health effects and premature death; Study of micro-sized carbon particles on human health
- 11. Air quality impacts to entire Hampton Roads area; potential 20-50+ mile study range
- 12. Climate Change and Global Warming
 - a.Effects from acid rain and acid mist b.Effects from factory emissions c.sea level rise d.Chesapeake Bay and ocean acidity e.Effects on marine life f. Greenhouse gases
- 13. Carbon emissions -Carbon capture sequestration (CCS)

a. Environmental impacts of carbon sequestration at this site versus alternative locations

- b. Permanent carbon sequestration-future carbon capture and storage
- c. Pumping carbon offsite
- d. Potential cap and trade effecting rates

- e. Evaluate effects of project under current technology not using future technology
- f. Ocean water injection
- g. Impacts of pipeline for CCS
- h. Clean coal technology
- i. Short and long-term effects of carbon emissions

Water Quality and Quantity

1. Groundwater

- a. Seasonal high and low water tables
- b. Health Department study on effects to groundwater
- c. Impacts to different level aquifers and deep wells
- d. Removal of water from existing sources (Town of Dendron water supply)
- e. Water table drop
- f. Private wells
 - i. Testing of private wells
 - ii. Concern that some pollutants can't be filtered from private water supplies
 - iii. Potential pollution of shallow potable wells
 - iv. Need for deeper (>200 foot) private wells
 - v. Potential for wells onsite to be used for steam generation
 - vi.Town of Dendron well less than 1/2 mile from nearest landfill onsite.
- 2. Pollution impacts to ocean
- 3. Potential impacts on water quality from Nitrogen
 - e. From Nitrogen Oxides (NOx)-impacts on eutrophication in estuaries, rivers, streams, and lakes
 - f. Potential for excess nitrogen in water to cause significant water quality impairments, such as oxygen deprived areas (dead zones)
 - g. Concentration of nitrogen in water discharged to James River-potential to further impair River
- 4. Intake and outfall impacts
- 5. Dioxin impacts to waterways
- 6. Impacts to two creeks that border Dendron site
- 7. Stormwater retention ponds onsite
 - a. Only 25-year flood retention
 - b. Soil erosion downstream
 - c. Impacts to physical and ecological health of local waterbodies
 - d. Impacts to physical and ecological health of local water bodies caused by stormwater runoff from coal pile

- 8. Concerns that more contaminated water means need for more clean water
- 9. Impacts to high water table
- 10. Water quality of private ponds used for recreation and farming
 - a. Testing before and after project
- 11. Cisterns and gutter spouts
 - a. Safety of water for use
 - b. Testing of water
 - c. Potential cost to consumer
- 12. Water quality impacts to entire Hampton Roads area; potential 20-50+ mile study range

Flyash and Landfills

- 1. Landfills
 - h. Protective liners in landfills
 - i. Length of legal liability for landfill
 - j. Worst case scenario for size of flyash landfills in case market for flyash shrinks
 - k. Flyash landfill on sand versus clay
 - 1. Concerns that landfill liners do not block radiation from flyash
 - m. Guaranteed life of landfill liner; concerns about leakage
 - n. Landfill alternatives
 - o. Control and containment of landfill material
 - p. Monitoring requirements, spacing and density of monitoring wells
- 2. Flyash dust in the air and potential for arsenic, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxide, mercury, and lead pollution
 - a. Effects of flyash dispersal on forests, crops and streams
 - b. Impacts of disposal in areas with high population density
 - c. Migration of flyash from wind, storms, flooding, hurricanes, and earthquakes
- 3. Potential impacts to Blackwater River and wetlands
- 4. Impacts to shallow and deep wells and aquifers
- 5. Leachate
 - a. Recovery measures for groundwater leaching
 - b. Bottom ash leaching
 - c. Leaching into substrate
 - d. Wetland impacts

- e. Habitat impacts
- f. Potential failure of leachate collection system causing leakage of lead and arsenic into ground (review study by Environmental Research Foundation?
- 6. Flyash holding ponds
 - q. Impacts of flyash holding ponds and possible overflow
 - r. Contents of holding ponds onsite (flyash storage?)
 - s. Concerns about what happens to water if flyash is held wet
 - t. Concerns about a flyash spill similar to the December 2008 spill in Kingsport, TN
 - u. Length of time for flyash to permeate into water table if released into environment
- 7. Marketing and regulation of flyash for commercial products
 - a. Responsibility for tracking commercial products made with flyash
 - b. Erosion of concrete made from flyash; poor quality concrete because substances may be concentrated; toxins in environment from concrete erosion
 - c. Building products made from flyash (counter-tops, drywall); responsibility for potential toxin release when products are removed
- 8. Disposal of flyash under current regulations
- 9. Transfer of flyash within plant site and offsite
- 10. Effects of flyash in regards to close proximity to residential housing
- 11. Height of flyash pile

Heavy Metals, Lead, Mercury, and Other Chemicals

- 1. Potential for pollution in primary rivers and farm fields
- 2. Potential for soot impacts, toxins in soils and water
- 3. Mercury and methyl mercury
 - a. Impacts to human health-one fifth of woman in child bearing age have too much mercury
 - b. Impacts to already impaired waters
 - c. Modeling should consider distribution in all of Virginia's waters
 - v. Include combined impacts from other mercury emissions sources within 100km radius of proposed facilities and potential hotspots

- w. Use spatial references with sensitivity to show mercury deposition from this plant into surrounding waters and land
- x. Concerns that limiting mercury models to a 3KM area around plant and one 200km larger areas does not analyze most significant amount of deposition that may occur in 40-60 km area.
- d. Mercury levels in fish
- e. Impacts hunting and fishing and recreational/commercial hunting/fishing industry
- f. Potential for transport of local mercury to ocean fish via algae
- g. Impact on outdoor recreation
- h. Impacts on wetland habitats and wildlife
- i. Effects of mercury deposition in the James River and Blackwater River-take into account current restrictions on fishing
- j. Impacts on tourism due to abundance of signs warning of fish consumption
- k. Mercury emissions 30 times the amount of Wise County plant
- 1. Need 60-mile radius independent study for mercury
- m. Mitigation for the 118 pounds of methyl mercury
- 4. Acid rain
 - a. Sulfuric acid
 - b. Nitrogen dioxide
- 5. Effects of 900 pounds of lead per year
- 6. Chemicals of concerns that may be released from coal-fired energy facilities
 - a. Boron
 - b. Molybdenum
 - c. Ammonia
 - d. Cyanide
 - e. Phenol
 - f. Chromium
 - g. Chlorine (trihalomethanes and other compounds), may be amplified with temperature changes
 - h. Copper
 - i. Iron
 - j. Zinc
 - k. Dioxin
 - l. Tributylin (TBT)
 - m. Selenium
 - i. Bioaccumulates in fish
 - ii. Potential for birds to eat selenium-contaminated fish and experience effects such as deformation of beaks and jaws and problems producing viable eggs
 - iii. Potential human neurological damage, hair and nail loss

Ecological Impacts

- 1. Wildlife
 - a. Hunting and fishing impacts, consider current restrictions
 - b. Bioaccumulation of toxins through food chains
 - c. Dose response-how much before there is an impact
 - d. Effects on productivity
 - e. Potential dioxin impacts to species, endocrine system and reproduction
 - f. Light pollution effects on nocturnal plants and animals
 - g. Migratory bird impacts-eagles and their habitat
 - h. Effects on local wildlife
 - i. Potential for adverse effects on the life stages of aquatic life and other wildlife dependent on the aquatic ecosystem

2. Wetlands

- a. Flora and fauna
- b. Effects on blue crabs, which are already suffering
- c. Wetlands sensitive to mercury contamination
- d. Blackwater River and mercury levels
- e. Cobham Bay (intake and outfall area) is a major nursery
- f. Potential that mitigation will not be equal to natural wetlands
- g. Concerns about impacts from railroad bridges
- h. Potential to further burden streams and rivers that already contain mercury-DEQ placed some off limits to fishermen because of excess mercury bioaccumulation in fish
- i. Flyash distributed during hurricanes and other storm events may impact streams, wetlands, and forests
- j. Effects on river ecosystems
- k. Wetland habitat impacts due to stormwater runoff, potential contamination and water withdrawal
- 1. Effects on flood prevention function of wetlands
- m. Effects of potentially changing the water quality functions of the wetlands (i.e. filtration of runoff, nutrient and sediment remediation, ecosystem functions and nutrient cycling)
- n. Potential effects of methylmercury on the aquatic environment, ecosystem, and organisms associated with mercury deposition.
- 3. Threatened and Endangered Species.
 - a. Potential to jeopardize the continued existence of species listed as endangered or threatened
 - b. Potential for destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat
 - c. Mid-Atlantic sturgeon
 - d. Red-Cockaded woodpecker

- 4. Impacts to plant and animal habitats
 - a. Habitat fragmentation from railroad and pipelines
- 5. Effects on bee population
- 6. Effects to Chesapeake Bay and Tributaries and other watersheds
 - y. Nitrogen deposition to Bay and tribs and other watersheds
 - z. Mercury deposition
 - aa. Potential for lead and mercury to pollute Bay and farm fields
 - bb. Estuaries and biodiversity
 - cc. Erosion effect on watersheds
 - dd. Executive order from Obama to clean up Bay
 - ee. Nitrogen excessive levels cause oxygen depravation in Bay
 - ff. Effect to Blackwater River, Pamlico Albemarle watersheds
 - gg. Impact to local water sources local ponds level of arsenic
 - hh. Mercury pollution in Blackwater River source of drinking water for Norfolk
 - ii. Stream in backyard that goes through site fear of mercury pollution

Coal

- 1. Use of coal
 - a. Not Clean clean coal does not exist
 - b. Consider coal technology feed greenhouse effect?
 - c. Use low sulfur coal
 - d. Consider cumulative pollutants
 - e. Cumulative impact
 - f. Need to read Big Coal by Jeff Goodell
- 2. Need study on longevity and source of coal
 - a. Life of coal technology- replace with other energy sources
 - b. Where will coal come from?
 - c. What happens when run out of coal?
 - d. Greater demand will deplete coal sources
- 3. Coal Storage on Site
 - a. Management (sprinklers) of dust from storage piles
 - b. Noise of coal being dropped into binds
 - c. How will effects of coal dust be regulated?
 - d. Environmental effect of processing coal onsite
- 4. Coal Transportation
 - a. Diesel fuel

- b. Rail transportation route can use existing lines
- c. Effects on RR spurs
- d. Dust from rail cars on route
- e. Use existing railroad in Sussex
- f. Do communities consent to increased RR traffic?
- 5. Mountain Top Mining Impacts
 - a. Miles of stream covered up
 - b. Effects on deep aquifers
 - c. Corps issues permits for mining
 - d. Need life cycle analysis for use of coal
 - e. Displacing endangered species
 - f. Will destroy Appalachian region

James River / Intake and Outfall Effects

- 1. Effects on tidal range and flow
- 2. Net loss between intake and outfall of water (evaporation)
 - a. Mercury deposit
 - b. Current restrictions on fishing
 - c. Thermal pollution and effects on migratory fish and vegetation
 - d. Salinity levels
 - e. Temperature
 - f. Concentrations of pollutants
 - g. Withdrawal impacts from upstream and downstream drinking water supplies
- 3. Water quality at outfall, silt effects of removal and/or addition, nutrient concentrations of discharge water, and chemicals added
 - a. Erosion along the watershed
- 4. Intake
 - a. Effect on fish and nursery habitat at Cobham Bay
 - b. Type and size of screen openings
 - c. Reliable source of water after 50 years, saltwater intrusion due to sea level rise
- 5. Environmental damage from pipeline location, pumping station, and chemicals used to heat water
- 6. Quality of oxygen levels in dead zones and mitigation harm
- 7. Impacts from water usage on ground and surface water supplies

- 8. Potential for salinity change from withdrawal and discharge
- 9. Withdrawal impacts
 - a. Fish impingement and entrainment
 - b. Sturgeon and Shad
 - c. Shellfish
 - d. Recreational and commercial anglers
 - e. Forage value to other fish
 - f. Changes in fish feeding patterns

10. Alteration of water level and flow impacts to habitat

- a. Adaption to these possible fluctuations
- b. Natural variability is critical to ecosystem health
- 11. Water levels
 - a. Change in water elevation
 - b. Change in currents
 - c. Change in circulation
 - d. Change in re-colonization and existence of indigenous aquatic organisms and communities
- 12. Other water use / reclamation options
 - a. Closed cycle re-circulating cooling systems
 - b. Geothermal cooling systems
 - c. Dry cooling systems

Cumulative Impacts

- 1. OLF flyover jet fuel impacts
- 2. Expansion of Route 460
- 3. Nuclear power plant in Surry
- 4. Additional impacts to area that already has prison, landfill, hog farms and sewage treatment plant
- 5. Cumulative effect of all coal fired power plants (Chesapeake, Hopewell, Yorktown)

Long Term Effects

- 1. What will be the condition of the site when the plant is gone?
- 2. Long term effects of emissions
- 3. What is possibility of retrofitting the facility?
- 4. Should guarantee enforcement of regulations and third party monitoring
- 5. What will happen when there is no more coal?

Historic Resources, Parks and Conservation Areas

- 1. 18th Century Home Mussel Fork House in Dendron
- 2. Three historic sawmills from 1800's in Dendron
- 3. Effects of acid rain on historic sites, natural and historic parks
- 4. Effect on slave quarters and grave yards in vicinity
- 5. Effect on visual rural landscape and tourism
- 6. Effects on State owned conservation areas and Nature Conservancy lands
- 7. Damage to local archaeological, historical, cultural and religious heritage site?

Public Health Impacts

- 1. Schools are within 3 miles of Dendron.
 - a. Children most vulnerable to cognitive development damages
 - i. Asthma, lung diseases, cancer, stroke, and premature death
 - ii. Pollutant control to protect children
- 2. Children development issues because of emissions from coal burning, mercury, methyl mercury, sulphric acid, nitrogen dioxide
- 3. Impacts to hunting, fishing, livestock, vegetable gardens, crop safety
- 4. Micro-sized carbon particles effect on human health
- 5. Health effects from mining in Appalachian

- 6. Consult with VA Dept. of Health Office of drinking water quality effects of fly ash on ground water
- 7. Large population over 60 (years of age) in Dendron, thus a concern about effects to older people / emissions will shorten lives and impair health at people over 60
- 8. Fugitive emissions prevent or limit time out doors for humans and keep homes from being clean
 - a. Impacts to respiratory function and health for surrounding residents in immediate and broader project area
 - b. Carbon monoxide to residents
 - c. Arsenic impacts to humans
 - d. Cancer risks
 - e. Impacts to human and ecosystem health
 - f. Impacts from release of manganese, beryllium, hydrogen fluoride, and lead
- 9. Particulate pollution in atmosphere from NOX & SO2 emissions reaction of coal combustion
 - a. Hydrogen chloride
 - b. Hydrogen sulfide mist
 - c. Related to pre-term and low birth weight leading to first year development delays
 - d. Volatile organic compounds
 - i. Ground level ozone
 - ii. Fine particulate matter (PM 2.5)
- 10. Particulate Matter impacts to cardiovascular disease rates in the region
 - a. [Health Effects Institute, Research Report 140, *Extended Follow-up and Spatial Analysis of the American Cancer Society Study Linking Particulate Air Pollution and Mortality*]
 - b. Contributes to Asthma and chronic bronchitis
 - c. Increases heart rhythm irregularities
 - d. Chest pain episodes
 - e. Fatal heart attacks

Roads and Railroad Crossings

- 1. Traffic Route 31
- 2. Route 460 upgrades and expansion

- 3. Changes in traffic patterns
- 4. Safety for children near schools
- 5. Increased truck traffic
- 6. Effects of railroad spurs and highways on town of Dendron
- 7. Effects on pedestrian traffic in Dendron
- 8. Traffic delays at RR crossings
- 9. Rescue squad and fire delays at RR crossings
- 10. Road improvements and maintenance
- 11. Regional transportation impacts
- 12. Traffic at all hours in Dendron
- 13. Safety at non-gated RR crossings

Economics and Socio-economics

- 1. Jobs
 - a. What jobs will be brought in?
 - b. Jobs are not for community residents but engineers and trained workers
 - c. Temporary construction jobs bided out of state
 - d. Jobs would be a benefit to Sussex Co
- 2. Impacts to game resources fishing and hunting
 - a. Impacts on recreational and commercial fishing (from Nitrogen and Mercury deposition)
 - b. Loss of revenue from fishing
- 3. Increased cost of electricity
- 4. Effects on rural character of area
 - a. Disruption caused by 1,200 1,500 trailers to house workers for five years
 - b. Permanently change community
 - c. Effects of coal by products on agriculture
 - d. Effects on population across demographics age
 - e. Cost to farmers and effect on crops and livestock
 - f. Impact on peanut and soybean farming (ozone and acid rain)

5. Viability of town

- a. Zoning change could attract industry and change character of town
- b. Impact on local services, police fire and rescue
- c. Will ODEC have eminent domain?
- d. What will ODEC do for community?
- e. Economic impact on area businesses and resident
- 6. Taxes, property values and depreciation
 - a. Will taxes change?
 - b. Tax revenue questionable does it really go to county improvements?
- 7. Effects on quality of life
 - a. Light, noise, smell from plant 24/7
 - b. Dust during construction
 - c. Plant in generation 24/7
 - d. Increased number of industrial users in the area affecting quality of life
- 8. Impact on tourism
 - a. Decreased tourism in Williamsburg Jamestown and Yorktown
 - b. Visibility impacts at federal, state and local parks and scenic views
- 9. Cost of increased medical problems
 - a. Increased hospital visits, doctor visits, medications
 - b. Workforce loss of IQ from mercury contaminated fish consumption and lead emissions

Environmental Justice

- 1. Determine impacts to citizens of Dendron
- 2. Determine impacts to community at source of coal

General

- **1.** Need for EIS
- 2. Request public hearing
- 3. Effects of terrorism attack on plant (& knocking out power to people

- 4. Use best technology available (up to date) or generator and pollution control
- 5. EPA rejected landfill in same site 3-4 years ago
- 6. Effects on industrialized areas
- 7. Effects on weather pollution
- 8. Regulations / Standards for Coal Power Plant
 - a. Sub-house committee from May 2009 / possible avoidance before new laws are passed
 - b. Up dated with current federal regulations
- 9. Independent studies
 - a. Wetlands
 - b. Not rely on ODEC studies
 - c. Health Mercury