



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 12/8/2020
 ORM Number: NAO-2017-00737
 Associated JDs: N/A
 Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Virginia City: Chesapeake County/Parish/Borough: N/A
 Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 36.744185 Longitude -76.172003

II. FINDINGS

- A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
 - There are “navigable waters of the United States” within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
 - There are “waters of the United States” within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
 - There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size		§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³				
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size		(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):				
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size		(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):				
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size		(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.
² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District’s list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.
³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):				
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
Wetland	52	acre(s)	(a)(4) Wetland abuts an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water.	The district determined that the wetlands within the project area abut an (a) (2) jurisdictional water (tributary to Stumpy Lake) and an (a) (3) jurisdictional water (Stumpy Lake) for the following reasons. First, the National Wetland Inventory map indicate that the wetlands within the project area are continuous with wetlands that abut these jurisdictional waters. Secondly, the USDA NRCS Soil Survey indicates that the hydric soil present within the project area extend north to the (a) (2) tributary. This suggests that the wetlands present within the project area extend north to the (a) (2) tributary. Thirdly, the district confirmed the wetland boundaries on the Centerville property which neighbors the project area in January of 2007. Though this delineation has expired, it indicates that the wetlands on the Centerville property are continuous with the wetlands within the project area and continue north to abut the (a) (2) tributary. Finally, the digital elevation map (DEM) derived from USGS Nationwide 3DEPElevation LiDAR data indicate that the elevation decreases along a transect from the project area north to the (a) (2) tributary (Figure 5). This suggests that the wetlands within the project area continue north to the (a) (2) tributary.

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

- Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: **Title(s) and date(s)**
This information **Select.** sufficient for purposes of this AJD.
Rationale: **N/A or describe rationale for insufficiency (including partial insufficiency).**
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: **Title(s) and/or date(s).**
- Photographs: **Select. Title(s) and/or date(s).**
- Corps site visit(s) conducted on: **Date(s).**

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [Administrative Record associated with appeal of AJD issued on July 12, 2019](#)
- Antecedent Precipitation Tool: *provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.*
- USDA NRCS Soil Survey: [12-2-2020 Web Soil Survey](#)
- USFWS NWI maps: [Obtained on 12-2-20 from NAO Regulatory Reporting Tool](#)
- USGS topographic maps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

B. Typical year assessment(s): [N/A](#)

C. Additional comments to support AJD: [N/A](#)