APPENDICES

GATHRIGHT DAM LOW FLOW AUGMENTATION PROJECT ALLEGHANY COUNTY, VIRGINIA

Norfolk District 803 Front Street Norfolk, VA 23510-1096 April 2013



US Army Corps of Engineers ®

APPENDIX A

PLATES

PLATE 1



PLATE 2



Gathright Dam and Lake Moomaw, VA Section 216 Low Flow Augmentation Feasibility Study National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929

(NVGD 29)

Figure 1: Reservoir Storage Allocation Not to Scale

APPENDIX B

TABLES

APPENDIX B

TABLESTABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Item</u>	<u>Title</u>	Page
B-1	SPECIES WITH EITHER FEDERAL OR STATE STATUS	b-2
B-2	SPECIES IDENTIFIED BY THE VIRGINIA WILDLIFE ACTION PLAN OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA	b-3
B-3	AVIAN RESOURCES OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA	b-7
B-4	TERRESTRIAL MAMMALS OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA	b-13
B-5	REPTILES AND AMPHIBIANS OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA	b-15
B-6	INSECTS AND ARACHNIDS OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA	b-17
B-7	INSECTS AND ARACHNIDS OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA	b-21
B-8	AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATES OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA	b-23

TABLES

This section includes tables which have been referenced in the main body of the report. The tables describe the fauna that resides within the project area, which includes Lake Moomaw, the Gathright Dam and the stretch of the Jackson River from the dam to the confluence with the Cowpasture River.

Status	Common Name	Scientific Name
FESE	Bat, Indiana	Myotis sodalis
FESE	Spinymussel, James	Pleurobema collina
FESE	Bat, gray	Myotis grisescens
FESE	Bat, Virginia big-eared	Corynorhinus townsendii virginianus
SE	Wren, Bewick's	Thryomanes bewickii
FSSE	Springsnail	Fontigens morrisoni
FSSE	Coil, shaggy	Helicodiscus diadema
SE	Shrew, American water	Sorex palustris
SE	Vole, rock	Microtus chrotorrhinus
ST	Falcon, peregrine	Falco peregrinus
ST	Sandpiper, upland	Bartramia longicauda
ST	Shrike, loggerhead	Lanius ludovicianus
ST	Sparrow, Henslow's	Ammodramus henslowii
FSST	Skipper, Appalachian grizzled	Pyrgus wyandot
FSST	Madtom, orangefin	Noturus gilberti
FSST	Eagle, bald	Haliaeetus leucocephalus
ST	Floater, green	Lasmigona subviridis
FSST	Pigtoe, Atlantic	Fusconaia masoni
ST	Shrike, migrant loggerhead	Lanius ludovicianus migrans
FS	Fritillary, regal	Speyeria idalia idalia
FS	Shiner, roughhead	Notropis semperasper
FS	Salamander, Peaks of Otter	Plethodon hubrichti
	Amphipod, Alleghany County	
FS	Cave	Stygobromus hoffmani
FS	Amphipod, Bath County Cave	Stygobromus mundus
FS	Amphipod, Morrison's Cave	Stygobromus morrisoni
FS	Isopod, Vandel's Cave	Caecidotea vandeli
FS	Beetle, Maureen's shale stream	Hydraena maureenae
FS	Butterfly, Persius duskywing	Erynnis persius persius
FS	Coil, talus	Helicodiscus triodus
FS	Pseudoscorpion, cave	Kleptochthonius anophthalmus
FS	Lance, yellow	Elliptio lanceolata
FS	fritillary, Diana	Speyeria diana
CC	Rattlesnake, timber	Crotalus horridus

Table B-1. SPECIES WITH EITHER FEDERAL OR STATE STATUS

* FE=Federal Endangered; FT=Federal Threatened; SE=State Endangered; ST=State Threatened; FP=Federal Proposed; FC=Federal Candidate; FS=Federal Species of Concern; SC=State Candidate; CC=Collection Concern; SS=State Special Concern (obsolete January 1, 2011)

Table B-2.SPECIES IDENTIFIED BY THE VIRGINIA WILDLIFE ACTION PLANOCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

Tier	Common Name	Scientific Name
Ι	Bat, Indiana	Myotis sodalis
Ι	Spinymussel, James	Pleurobema collina
II	Bat, gray	Myotis grisescens
II	Bat, Virginia big-eared	Corynorhinus townsendii virginianus
Ι	Wren, Bewick's	Thryomanes bewickii
Ι	Springsnail	Fontigens morrisoni
Ι	Coil, shaggy	Helicodiscus diadema
II	Shrew, American water	Sorex palustris
II	Vole, rock	Microtus chrotorrhinus
Ι	Falcon, peregrine	Falco peregrinus
Ι	Sandpiper, upland	Bartramia longicauda
Ι	Shrike, loggerhead	Lanius ludovicianus
Ι	Sparrow, Henslow's	Ammodramus henslowii
Ι	Skipper, Appalachian grizzled	Pyrgus wyandot
II	Madtom, orangefin	Noturus gilberti
W2II	Eagle, bald	Haliaeetus leucocephalus
II	Floater, green	Lasmigona subviridis
II	Pigtoe, Atlantic	Fusconaia masoni
Ι	Fritillary, regal	Speyeria idalia idalia
II	Shiner, roughhead	Notropis semperasper
II	Salamander, Peaks of Otter	Plethodon hubrichti
II	Amphipod, Alleghany County Cave	Stygobromus hoffmani
II	Amphipod, Bath County Cave	Stygobromus mundus
II	Amphipod, Morrison's Cave	Stygobromus morrisoni
II	Isopod, Vandel's Cave	Caecidotea vandeli
II	Beetle, Maureen's shale stream	Hydraena maureenae
II	Butterfly, Persius duskywing	Erynnis persius persius
II	Coil, talus	Helicodiscus triodus
II	Pseudoscorpion, Cave	Kleptochthonius anophthalmus
III	Lance, yellow	Elliptio lanceolata
IV	fritillary, Diana	Speyeria diana
IV	Rattlesnake, timber	Crotalus horridus
Ι	Pinesnake, northern	Pituophis melanoleucus melanoleucus
Ι	Crossbill, red	Loxia curvirostra

Table B-2. SPECIES IDENTIFIED BY THE VIRGINIA WILDLIFE ACTION PLAN OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA (Cont'd)

Tier	Common Name	Scientific Name
Ι	Sapsucker, yellow-bellied	Sphyrapicus varius
Ι	Warbler, black-throated green	Dendroica virens
Ι	Warbler, golden-winged	Vermivora chrysoptera
II	Duck, American black	Anas rubripes
II	Owl, northern saw-whet	Aegolius acadicus
II	Warbler, cerulean	Dendroica cerulea
II	Wren, winter	Troglodytes troglodytes
II	Fisher	Martes pennanti pennanti
III	Greensnake, smooth	Opheodrys vernalis
III	Turtle, eastern box	Terrapene carolina carolina
III	Bittern, least	Ixobrychus exilis exilis
III	Harrier, northern	Circus cyaneus
III	Night-heron, yellow-crowned	Nyctanassa violacea violacea
III	Owl, barn	Tyto alba pratincola
III	Redhead	Aythya americana
III	Tern, common	Sterna hirundo
III	Wren, sedge	Cistothorus platensis
III	Myotis, eastern small-footed	Myotis leibii
III	Rainbow, notched	Villosa constricta
III	Springsnail, Blue Ridge	Fontigens orolibas
III	Damselfly, Appalachian jewelwing	Calopteryx angustipennis
III	Butterfly, mottled duskywing	Erynnis martialis
IV	Darter, riverweed	Etheostoma podostemone
IV	Salamander, Jefferson	Ambystoma jeffersonianum
IV	Ribbonsnake, common	Thamnophis sauritus sauritus
IV	Scarletsnake, northern	Cemophora coccinea copei
IV	Snake, eastern hog-nosed	Heterodon platirhinos
IV	Snake, queen	Regina septemvittata
IV	Blackbird, rusty	Euphagus carolinus
IV	Bobwhite, northern	Colinus virginianus
IV	Catbird, gray	Dumetella carolinensis
IV	Chat, yellow-breasted	Icteria virens virens
IV	Chuck-will's-widow	Caprimulgus carolinensis
IV	Creeper, brown	Certhia americana

Table B-2. SPECIES IDENTIFIED BY THE VIRGINIA WILDLIFE ACTION PLAN OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA (Cont'd)

Tier	Common Name	Scientific Name
IV	Cuckoo, yellow-billed	Coccyzus americanus
IV	Dowitcher, short-billed	Limnodromus griseus
IV	Flycatcher, willow	Empidonax traillii
IV	Grosbeak, rose-breasted	Pheucticus ludovicianus
IV	Heron, green	Butorides virescens
IV	Kingbird, eastern	Tyrannus tyrannus
IV	Meadowlark, eastern	Sturnella magna
IV	Ovenbird	Seiurus aurocapilla
IV	Parula, northern	Parula americana
IV	Pewee, eastern wood	Contopus virens
IV	Rail, yellow	Coturnicops noveboracensis
IV	Scaup, greater	Aythya marila
IV	Sparrow, field	Spizella pusilla
IV	Sparrow, grasshopper	Ammodramus savannarum pratensis
IV	Swallow, northern rough-winged	Stelgidopteryx serripennis
IV	Swift, chimney	Chaetura pelagica
IV	Tanager, scarlet	Piranga olivacea
IV	Tern, Forster's	Sterna forsteri
IV	Thrasher, brown	Toxostoma rufum
IV	Thrush, wood	Hylocichla mustelina
IV	Towhee, eastern	Pipilo erythrophthalmus
IV	Vireo, yellow-throated	Vireo flavifrons
IV	Warbler, black-and-white	Mniotilta varia
IV	Warbler, blue-winged	Vermivora pinus
IV	Warbler, Canada	Wilsonia canadensis
IV	Warbler, Kentucky	Oporornis formosus
IV	Warbler, prairie	Dendroica discolor
IV	Warbler, prothonotary	Protonotaria citrea
IV	Warbler, worm-eating	Helmitheros vermivorus
IV	Warbler, yellow	Dendroica petechia
IV	Waterthrush, Louisiana	Seiurus motacilla
IV	Whip-poor-will	Caprimulgus vociferus
IV	Woodcock, American	Scolopax minor
IV	Wren, marsh	Cistothorus palustris

Table B-2. SPECIES IDENTIFIED BY THE VIRGINIA WILDLIFE ACTION PLAN OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA (Cont'd)

Tier	Common Name	Scientific Name
IV	Cottontail, Appalachian	Sylvilagus obscurus
IV	Shrew, long-tailed (= rock)	Sorex dispar dispar
IV	Skunk, eastern spotted	Spilogale putorius putorius
IV	Weasel, least	Mustela nivalis allegheniensis
IV	Woodrat, Allegheny	Neotoma magister
IV	Creeper	Strophitus undulatus
IV	Lance, Carolina	Elliptio angustata
IV	Mussel, triangle floater	Alasmidonta undulata
IV	Spike, Atlantic	Elliptio producta
IV	Crayfish	Orconectes obscurus
IV	Crayfish	Orconectes cristavarius
IV	Butterfly, early hairstreak	Erora laeta
IV	Butterfly, frosted elfin	Callophrys irus
IV	Butterfly, hoary elfin	Callophrys polius
IV	Butterfly, northern metalmark	Calephelis borealis

Source: VDGIF Online Database (the search area included a circle with a 10-mile radius around point latitude 37°52′00.6″, longitude 79°53′ 58.8″), 2011.

KEY = Tier I - Critical Conservation Need; II=VA Wildlife Action Plan - Tier II - Very High Conservation Need; III=VA Wildlife Action Plan - Tier III - High Conservation Need; IV=VA Wildlife Action Plan - Tier IV - Moderate Conservation Need

Table B-3. AVIAN RESOURCES OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Bittern, least	Ixobrychus exilis exilis
Blackbird, red-winged	Agelaius phoeniceus
Blackbird, rusty	Euphagus carolinus
Bluebird, eastern	Sialia sialis
Bobwhite, northern	Colinus virginianus
Bufflehead	Bucephala albeola
Bunting, indigo	Passerina cyanea
Bunting, snow	Plectrophenax nivalis nivalis
Canvasback	Aythya valisineria
Cardinal, northern	Cardinalis cardinalis
Catbird, gray	Dumetella carolinensis
Chat, yellow-breasted	Icteria virens virens
Chickadee, black-capped	Poecile atricapillus
Chickadee, Carolina	Poecile carolinensis
Chuck-will's-widow	Caprimulgus carolinensis
Coot, American	Fulica americana
Cormorant, double-crested	Phalacrocorax auritus
Cowbird, brown-headed	Molothrus ater
Creeper, brown	Certhia americana
Crossbill, red	Loxia curvirostra
Crossbill, white-winged	Loxia leucoptera
Crow, American	Corvus brachyrhynchos
Cuckoo, black-billed	Coccyzus erythropthalmus
Cuckoo, yellow-billed	Coccyzus americanus
Dickcissel	Spiza americana
Dove, mourning	Zenaida macroura carolinensis
Dowitcher, short-billed	Limnodromus griseus
Duck, American black	Anas rubripes
Duck, ring-necked	Aythya collaris
Duck, wood	Aix sponsa
Eagle, bald	Haliaeetus leucocephalus
Eagle, golden	Aquila chrysaetos
Egret, great	Ardea alba egretta
Falcon, peregrine	Falco peregrinus

Mathematical Contention Mithin The PROJECT AREA (Cont'd) (Cont'd)

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Finch, house	Carpodacus mexicanus
Finch, purple	Carpodacus purpureus
Flicker, northern	Colaptes auratus
Flycatcher, Acadian	Empidonax virescens
Flycatcher, alder	Empidonax alnorum
Flycatcher, great crested	Myiarchus crinitus
Flycatcher, least	Empidonax minimus
Flycatcher, willow	Empidonax traillii
Gadwall	Anas strepera
Gnatcatcher, blue-gray	Polioptila caerulea
Goldeneye, common	Bucephala clangula americana
Goldfinch, American	Carduelis tristis
Goose, Canada	Branta canadensis
Goshawk, northern	Accipiter gentilis
Grackle, common	Quiscalus quiscula
Grebe, pied-billed	Podilymbus podiceps
Grosbeak, blue	Guiraca caerulea caerulea
Grosbeak, evening	Coccothraustes vespertinus
Grosbeak, rose-breasted	Pheucticus ludovicianus
Grouse, ruffed	Bonasa umbellus
Gull, herring	Larus argentatus
Gull, ring-billed	Larus delawarensis
Harrier, northern	Circus cyaneus
Hawk, broad-winged	Buteo platypterus
Hawk, Cooper's	Accipiter cooperii
Hawk, red-shouldered	Buteo lineatus lineatus
Hawk, red-tailed	Buteo jamaicensis
Hawk, rough-legged	Buteo lagopus johannis
Hawk, sharp-shinned	Accipiter striatus velox
Heron, great blue	Ardea herodias herodias
Heron, green	Butorides virescens
Hummingbird, ruby-throated	Archilochus colubris
Jay, blue	Cyanocitta cristata
Junco, dark-eyed	Junco hyemalis
Kestrel, American	Falco sparverius sparverius

Table B-3. AVIAN RESOURCES OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Killdeer	Charadrius vociferus
Kingbird, eastern	Tyrannus tyrannus
Kingfisher, belted	Ceryle alcyon
Kinglet, golden-crowned	Regulus satrapa
Kinglet, ruby-crowned	Regulus calendula
Lark, horned	Eremophila alpestris
Loon, common	Gavia immer
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos
Martin, purple	Progne subis
Meadowlark, eastern	Sturnella magna
Merganser, hooded	Lophodytes cucullatus
Mockingbird, northern	Mimus polyglottos
Moorhen, common	Gallinula chloropus cachinnans
Nighthawk, common	Chordeiles minor
Night-heron, yellow-crowned	Nyctanassa violacea violacea
Nuthatch, red-breasted	Sitta canadensis
Nuthatch, white-breasted	Sitta carolinensis
Oriole, Baltimore	Icterus galbula
Oriole, orchard	Icterus spurius
Osprey	Pandion haliaetus carolinensis
Ovenbird	Seiurus aurocapilla
Owl, barn	Tyto alba pratincola
Owl, barred	Strix varia
Owl, great horned	Bubo virginianus
Owl, northern saw-whet	Aegolius acadicus
Owl, short-eared	Asio flammeus
Parula, northern	Parula americana
Pewee, eastern wood	Contopus virens
Pheasant, ring-necked	Phasianus colchicus
Phoebe, eastern	Sayornis phoebe
Pigeon, rock	Columba livia
Pipit, American	Anthus rubescens
Rail, yellow	Coturnicops noveboracensis

(Cont'd)

Table B-3. AVIAN RESOURCES OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA (Cont'd)

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Rail, yellow	Coturnicops noveboracensis
Raven, common	Corvus corax
Redhead	Aythya americana
Redstart, American	Setophaga ruticilla
Robin, American	Turdus migratorius
Sandpiper, solitary	Tringa solitaria
Sandpiper, spotted	Actitis macularia
Sandpiper, upland	Bartramia longicauda
Sapsucker, yellow-bellied	Sphyrapicus varius
Scaup, greater	Aythya marila
Scaup, lesser	Aythya affinis
Scoter, white-winged	Melanitta fusca deglandi
Screech-owl, eastern	Megascops asio
Shrike, loggerhead	Lanius ludovicianus
Shrike, migrant loggerhead	Lanius ludovicianus migrans
Siskin, pine	Carduelis pinus
Snipe, Wilson's	Gallinago delicata
Sora	Porzana carolina
Sparrow, American tree	Spizella arborea
Sparrow, chipping	Spizella passerina
Sparrow, field	Spizella pusilla
Sparrow, fox	Passerella iliaca
Sparrow, grasshopper	Ammodramus savannarum pratensis
Sparrow, Henslow's	Ammodramus henslowii
Sparrow, house	Passer domesticus
Sparrow, Le Conte's	Ammodramus leconteii
Sparrow, savannah	Passerculus sandwichensis
Sparrow, song	Melospiza melodia
Sparrow, swamp	Melospiza georgiana
Sparrow, vesper	Pooecetes gramineus
Sparrow, white-crowned	Zonotrichia leucophrys
Sparrow, white-throated	Zonotrichia albicollis
Starling, European	Sturnus vulgaris
Stork, wood	Mycteria americana
Swallow, bank	Riparia riparia

Table B-3. AVIAN RESOURCES OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA (Cont'd)

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Swallow, barn	Hirundo rustica
Swallow, cliff	Petrochelidon pyrrhonota pyrrhonota
Swallow, tree	Tachycineta bicolor
Swallow, northern rough-winged	Stelgidopteryx serripennis
Swift, chimney	Chaetura pelagica
Tanager, scarlet	Piranga olivacea
Tanager, summer	Piranga rubra
Teal, blue-winged	Anas discors orphna
Teal, green-winged	Anas crecca carolinensis
Tern, common	Sterna hirundo
Tern, Forster's	Sterna forsteri
Thrasher, brown	Toxostoma rufum
Thrush, hermit	Catharus guttatus
Thrush, wood	Hylocichla mustelina
Titmouse, tufted	Baeolophus bicolor
Towhee, eastern	Pipilo erythrophthalmus
Turkey, wild	Meleagris gallopavo silvestris
Veery	Catharus fuscescens
Vireo, blue-headed	Vireo solitarius
Vireo, red-eyed	Vireo olivaceus
Vireo, warbling	Vireo gilvus gilvus
Vireo, white-eyed	Vireo griseus
Vireo, yellow-throated	Vireo flavifrons
Vulture, black	Coragyps atratus
Vulture, turkey	Cathartes aura
Warbler, black-and-white	Mniotilta varia
Warbler, black-throated blue	Dendroica caerulescens
Warbler, black-throated green	Dendroica virens
Warbler, blackburnian	Dendroica fusca
Warbler, blackpoll	Dendroica striata
Warbler, blue-winged	Vermivora pinus
Warbler, Canada	Wilsonia canadensis
Warbler, Cape May	Dendroica tigrina
Warbler, cerulean	Dendroica cerulea

Table B-3. AVIAN RESOURCES OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Warbler, golden-winged	Vermivora chrysoptera
Warbler, hooded	Wilsonia citrina
Warbler, Kentucky	Oporornis formosus
Warbler, magnolia	Dendroica magnolia
Warbler, mourning	Oporornis philadelphia
Warbler, Nashville	Vermivora ruficapilla
Warbler, palm	Dendroica palmarum
Warbler, pine	Dendroica pinus
Warbler, prairie	Dendroica discolor
Warbler, prothonotary	Protonotaria citrea
Warbler, worm-eating	Helmitheros vermivorus
Warbler, yellow	Dendroica petechia
Warbler, yellow-rumped	Dendroica coronata cornata
Warbler, yellow-throated	Dendroica dominica
Waterthrush, Louisiana	Seiurus motacilla
Waterthrush, northern	Seiurus noveboracensis
Waxwing, cedar	Bombycilla cedrorum
Whip-poor-will	Caprimulgus vociferus
Woodcock, American	Scolopax minor
Woodpecker, downy	Picoides pubescens medianus
Woodpecker, hairy	Picoides villosus
Woodpecker, pileated	Dryocopus pileatus
Woodpecker, red-bellied	Melanerpes carolinus
Woodpecker, red-headed	Melanerpes erythrocephalus
Wren, Bewick's	Thryomanes bewickii
Wren, Carolina	Thryothorus ludovicianus
Wren, house	Troglodytes aedon
Wren, marsh	Cistothorus palustris
Wren, sedge	Cistothorus platensis
Wren, winter	Troglodytes troglodytes
Yellowthroat, common	Geothlypis trichas

(Cont'd)

Source: VDGIF Online Database (the search area included a circle with a 10-mile radius around point latitude 37°52'00.6", longitude 79°53' 58.8"), 2011.

Table B-4. TERRESTRIAL MAMMALS OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME			
Mussel, eastern elliptio	Elliptio complanata			
Bat, big brown	Eptesicus fuscus fuscus			
Bat, eastern red	Lasiurus borealis borealis			
Bat, gray	Myotis grisescens			
Bat, hoary	Lasiurus cinereus cinereus			
Bat, Indiana	Myotis sodalis			
Bat, little brown	Myotis lucifugus lucifugus			
Bat, silver-haired	Lasionycteris noctivagans			
Bat, Virginia big-eared	Corynorhinus townsendii virginianus			
Bear, black	Ursus americanus americanus			
Beaver, American	Castor canadensis			
Bobcat	Lynx rufus rufus			
Chipmunk, Fisher's eastern	Tamias striatus fisheri			
Cottontail, Appalachian	Sylvilagus obscurus			
Cottontail, eastern	Sylvilagus floridanus mallurus			
Coyote	Canis latrans			
Deer, white-tailed	Odocoileus virginianus			
Fisher	Martes pennanti pennanti			
Fox, common gray	Urocyon cinereoargenteus cinereoargenteus			
Fox, red	Vulpes vulpes fulva			
Lemming, Stone's southern bog	Synaptomys cooperi stonei			
Mink, common	Mustela vison mink			
Mink, southwestern	Mustela vison vison			
Mole, eastern	Scalopus aquaticus aquaticus			
Mole, hairy-tailed	Parascalops breweri			
Mouse, common golden	Ochrotomys nuttalli aureolus			
Mouse, deer	Peromyscus maniculatus nubiterrae			
Mouse, house	Mus musculus musculus			
Mouse, meadow jumping	Zapus hudsonius americanus			
Mouse, northern white-footed	Peromyscus leucopus noveboracensis			
Mouse, woodland jumping	Napaeozapus insignis roanensis			
Muskrat, common	Ondatra zibethicus zibethicus			
Myotis, eastern small-footed	Myotis leibii			
Myotis, northern	Myotis septentrionalis septentrionalis			

Table B-4. TERRESTRIAL MAMMALS OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA (Cont'd)

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Opossum, Virginia	Didelphis virginiana virginiana
Otter, northern river	Lontra canadensis lataxina
Pipistrelle, eastern	Pipistrellus subflavus subflavus
Raccoon	Procyon lotor lotor
Rat, Norway	Rattus norvegicus norvegicus
Shrew, American water	Sorex palustris
Shrew, ashen masked	Sorex cinereus cinereus
Shrew, Kirtland's short-tailed	Blarina brevicauda kirtlandi
Shrew, least	Cryptotis parva parva
Shrew, long-tailed (= rock)	Sorex dispar dispar
Shrew, pygmy	Sorex hoyi winnemana
Shrew, smoky	Sorex fumeus fumeus
Shrew, southeastern	Sorex longirostris longirostris
Skunk, eastern spotted	Spilogale putorius putorius
Skunk, striped	Mephitis mephitis mephitis
Squirrel, eastern fox	Sciurus niger vulpinus
Squirrel, northern gray	Sciurus carolinensis pennsylvanicus
Squirrel, red	Tamiasciurus hudsonicus abieticola
Squirrel, southern flying	Glaucomys volans volans
Vole, coastal Gapper's red-backed	Clethrionomys gapperi maurus
Vole, common Gapper's red-backed	Clethrionomys gapperi gapperi
Vole, meadow	Microtus pennsylvanicus pennsylvanicus
Vole, pine	Microtus pinetorum scalopsoides
Vole, rock	Microtus chrotorrhinus
Weasel, least	Mustela nivalis allegheniensis
Weasel, long-tailed	Mustela frenata noveboracensis
Woodchuck	Marmota monax monax
Woodrat, Allegheny	Neotoma magister

Source: VDGIF Online Database (the search area included a circle with a 10-mile radius around point latitude 37°52′00.6″, longitude 79°53′ 58.8″), 2011.

Table B-5. REPTILES AND AMPHIBIANS OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Brownsnake, northern	Storeria dekayi dekayi
Bullfrog, American	Lithobates catesbeianus
Cooter, eastern river	Pseudemys concinna concinna
Copperhead, northern	Agkistrodon contortrix mokasen
Cornsnake, red	Pantherophis guttatus
Earthsnake, eastern smooth	Virginia valeriae valeriae
Frog, eastern cricket	Acris crepitans crepitans
Frog, northern green	Lithobates clamitans melanota
Frog, pickerel	Lithobates palustris
Frog, upland chorus	Pseudacris feriarum feriarum
Frog, wood	Lithobates sylvaticus
Gartersnake, eastern	Thamnophis sirtalis sirtalis
Greensnake, northern rough	Opheodrys aestivus aestivus
Greensnake, smooth	Opheodrys vernalis
Kingsnake, eastern	Lampropeltis getula getula
Lizard, eastern fence	Sceloporus undulatus
Milksnake, eastern	Lampropeltis triangulum triangulum
Newt, red-spotted	Notophthalmus viridescens viridescens
Peeper, northern spring	Pseudacris crucifer crucifer
Pinesnake, northern	Pituophis melanoleucus melanoleucus
Racer, northern black	Coluber constrictor constrictor
Racerunner, eastern six-lined	Aspidoscelis sexlineata sexlineata
Ratsnake, eastern	Pantherophis alleghaniensis
Ribbonsnake, common	Thamnophis sauritus sauritus
Rattlesnake, timber	Crotalus horridus
Salamander, Allegheny mountain dusky	Desmognathus ochrophaeus
Salamander, black-bellied	Desmognathus quadramaculatus
Salamander, cave	Eurycea lucifuga
Salamander, eastern red-backed	Plethodon cinereus
Salamander, four-toed	Hemidactylium scutatum
Salamander, Jefferson	Ambystoma jeffersonianum
Salamander, long-tailed	Eurycea longicauda longicauda
Salamander, marbled	Ambystoma opacum
Salamander, northern dusky	Desmognathus fuscus

Table B-5. REPTILES AND AMPHIBIANS OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA (Cont'd)

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME			
Salamander, northern red	Pseudotriton ruber ruber			
Salamander, northern slimy	Plethodon glutinosus			
Salamander, northern spring	Gyrinophilus porphyriticus porphyriticu.			
Salamander, northern two-lined	Eurycea bislineata			
Salamander, Peaks of Otter	Plethodon hubrichti			
Salamander, seal	Desmognathus monticola			
Salamander, southern two-lined	Eurycea cirrigera			
Salamander, spotted	Ambystoma maculatum			
Salamander, valley and ridge	Plethodon hoffmani			
Salamander, Wehrle's	Plethodon wehrlei			
Salamander, white-spotted slimy	Plethodon cylindraceus			
Scarletsnake, northern	Cemophora coccinea copei			
Skink, broad-headed	Plestiodon laticeps			
Skink, common five-lined	Plestiodon fasciatus			
Skink, little brown	Scincella lateralis			
Skink, northern coal	Plestiodon anthracinus anthracinus			
Skink, southeastern five-lined	Plestiodon inexpectatus			
Snake, eastern hog-nosed	Heterodon platirhinos			
Snake, northern red-bellied	Storeria occipitomaculata occipitomaculata			
Snake, northern ring-necked	Diadophis punctatus edwardsii			
Snake, queen	Regina septemvittata			
Stinkpot	Sternotherus odoratus			
Toad, eastern American	Anaxyrus americanus americanus			
Toad, Fowler's	Anaxyrus fowleri			
Treefrog, gray	Hyla versicolor			
Turtle, eastern box	Terrapene carolina carolina			
Turtle, eastern painted	Chrysemys picta picta			
Turtle, eastern snapping	Chelydra serpentina serpentina			
Watersnake, northern	Nerodia sipedon sipedon			
Wormsnake, eastern	Carphophis amoenus amoenus			

Source: VDGIF Online Database (the search area included a circle with a 10-mile radius around point latitude 37°52′00.6″, longitude 79°53′ 58.8″), 2011.

Table B-6. INSECTS AND ARACHNIDS OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Armyworm	Pseudaletia unipuncta
Beetle, Maureen's shale stream	Hydraena maureenae
Borer, European corn	Ostrinia nubilatis
Butterfly, American copper	Lycaena phlaeas
Butterfly, American lady	Vanessa virginiensis
Butterfly, American snout	Libytheana carinenta
Butterfly, Appalachian azure	Celastrina neglectamajor
Butterfly, Appalachian brown	Satyrodes appalachia
Butterfly, Aprhodite fritillary	Speyeria aphrodite
Butterfly, Baltimore checkerspot	Euphydryas phaeton
Butterfly, banded hairstreak	Satyrium calanus
Butterfly, black swallowtail	Papilio polyxenes asterius
Butterfly, brown elfin	Callophrys augustinus
Butterfly, cabbage white	Pieris rapae
Butterfly, Carolina satyr	Hermeuptychia sosybius
Butterfly, carus skipper	Polites carus
Butterfly, checkered white	Pontia protodice
Butterfly, clouded sulphur	Colias philodice
Butterfly, columbine duskywing	Erynnis lucilius
Butterfly, common buckeye	Junonia coenia
Butterfly, common checkered-skipper	Pyrgus communis
Butterfly, common sootywing	Pholisora catullus
Butterfly, common wood-nymph	Cercyonis pegala
Butterfly, crossline skipper	Polites origenes
Butterfly, Delaware skipper	Anatrytone logan
Butterfly, dreamy duskywing	Erynnis icelus
Butterfly, Dun skipper	Euphyes vestris
Butterfly, dusky azure	Celastrina nigra
Butterfly, dusted skipper	Atrytonopsis hianna
Butterfly, early hairstreak	Erora laeta
Butterfly, eastern comma	Polygonia comma
Butterfly, eastern tailed-blue	Everes comyntas
Butterfly, eastern tiger swallowtail	Papilio glaucus
Butterfly, Edwards' hairstreak	Satyrium edwardsii

Table B-6. INSECTS AND ARACHNIDS OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA (Cont'd)

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Butterfly, falcate orangetip	Anthocharis midea
Butterfly, frosted elfin	Callophrys irus
Butterfly, gemmed satyr	Cyllopsis gemma
Butterfly, golden-banded skipper	Autochton cellus
Butterfly, gray comma	Polygonia progne
Butterfly, gray hairstreak	Strymon melinus
Butterfly, great spangled fritillary	Speyeria cybele
Butterfly, green comma	Polygonia faunus
Butterfly, hackberry emperor	Asterocampa celtis
Butterfly, harvester	Feniseca tarquinius
Butterfly, hickory hairstreak	Satyrium caryaevorum
Butterfly, hoary edge	Achalarus lyciades
Butterfly, hoary elfin	Callophrys polius
Butterfly, Hobomok skipper	Poanes hobomok
Butterfly, Horace's duskywing	Erynnis horatius
Butterfly, Indian skipper	Hesperia sassacus
Butterfly, Juvenal's duskywing	Erynnis juvenalis
Butterfly, least skipper	Ancyloxypha numitor
Butterfly, little glassywing	Pompeius verna
Butterfly, little wood-satyr	Megisto cymela
Butterfly, meadow fritillary	Boloria bellona
Butterfly, monarch	Danaus plexippus
Butterfly, mottled duskywing	Erynnis martialis
Butterfly, mourning cloak	Nymphalis antiopa
Butterfly, northern broken dash	Wallengrenia egeremet
Butterfly, northern cloudywing	Thorybes pylades
Butterfly, northern metalmark	Calephelis borealis
Butterfly, northern pearly-eye	Enodia anthedon
Butterfly, olive juniper hairstreak	Callophrys gryneus gryneus
Butterfly, orange sulphur	Colias eurytheme
Butterfly, orange-barred sulphur	Phoebis philea
Butterfly, painted lady	Vanessa cardui
Butterfly, pearl crescent	Phyciodes tharos
Butterfly, Peck's skipper	Polites peckius

Table B-6. INSECTS AND ARACHNIDS OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Butterfly, Persius duskywing	Erynnis persius persius
Butterfly, pepper and salt road-skipper	Amblyscirtes hegon
Butterfly, pipevine swallowtail	Battus philenor
Butterfly, question mark	Polygonia interrogationis
Butterfly, red admiral	Vanessa atalanta
Butterfly, red-spotted purple	Limenitis arthemis astyanax
Butterfly, sachem	Atalopedes campestris
Butterfly, silver-bordered fritillary	Boloria selene
Butterfly, silver-spotted skipper	Epargyreus clarus
Butterfly, silvery blue	Glaucopsyche lygdamus
Butterfly, silvery checkerspot	Chlosyne nycteis
Butterfly, sleepy duskywing	Erynnis brizo
Butterfly, sleepy orange	Eurema nicippe
Butterfly, southern cloudywing	Thorybes bathyllus
Butterfly, southern hairstreak	Satyrium favonius
Butterfly, spicebush swallowtail	Papilio troilus
Butterfly, spring azure	Celastrina ladon
Butterfly, striped hairstreak	Satyrium liparops
Butterfly, tawny emperor	Asterocampa clyton
Butterfly, tawny-edged skipper	Polites themistocles
Butterfly, variegated fritillary	Euptoieta claudia
Butterfly, viceroy	Limenitis archippus
Butterfly, white M hairstreak	Parrhasius m-album
Butterfly, wild indigo duskywing	Erynnis baptisiae
Butterfly, Zabulon skipper	Poanes zabulon
Butterfly, zebra swallowtail	Eurytides marcellus
Coil, shaggy	Helicodiscus diadema
Coil, talus	Helicodiscus triodus
Damselfly, Appalachian jewelwing	Calopteryx angustipennis
Earworm, corn	Heliathis zea
Gnat	Culicoides guttipennis
Moth, codling	Cydia pomonella
Moth, gypsy	Lymantria dispar
Pseudoscorpion, cave	Kleptochthonius anophthalmus

(Cont'd)

Mathematical Table B-6. INSECTS AND ARACHNIDS OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA (Cont'd)

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Roadside-skipper, common	Amblyscirtes vialis
Skipper, Appalachian grizzled	Pyrgus wyandot
Tick, American dog	Dermacentor variabilis
Tick, brown dog	Rhipicephalus sanguineus
Tick, lone star	Amblyomma americanum
Tick, rabbit	Haemaphysalis leporispalustris
Tick, winter	Dermacentor albipictus

Source: VDGIF Online Database (the search area included a circle with a 10-mile radius around point latitude 37°52′00.6″, longitude 79°53′ 58.8″), 2011.

Table B-7. FISH OCCURING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME		
Bass, largemouth	Micropterus salmoides		
Bass, rock	Ambloplites rupestris		
Bass, smallmouth	Micropterus dolomieu		
Bluegill	Lepomis macrochirus		
Bullhead, brown	Ameiurus nebulosus		
Bullhead, flat	Ameiurus platycephalus		
Bullhead, yellow	Ameiurus natalis		
Carp, common	Cyprinus carpio		
Catfish, channel	Ictalurus punctatus		
Catfish, flathead	Pylodictis olivaris		
Catfish, white	Ameiurus catus		
Chub, bluehead	Nocomis leptocephalus		
Chub, bull	Nocomis raneyi		
Chub, creek	Semotilus atromaculatus		
Chub, river	Nocomis micropogon		
Chubsucker, creek	Erimyzon oblongus		
Crappie, black	Pomoxis nigromaculatus		
Dace, blacknose	Rhinichthys atratulus		
Dace, longnose	Rhinichthys cataractae		
Dace, mountain redbelly	Chrosomus oreas		
Darter, fantail	Etheostoma flabellare		
Darter, johnny	Etheostoma nigrum		
Darter, longfin	Etheostoma longimanum		
Darter, riverweed	Etheostoma podostemone		
Darter, Roanoke	Percina roanoka		
Darter, shield	Percina peltata		
Darter, stripeback	Percina notogramma		
Fallfish	Semotilus corporalis		
Jumprock, black	Moxostoma cervinum		
Killifish, banded	Fundulus diaphanus		
Madtom, margined	Noturus insignis		
Madtom, orangefin	Noturus gilberti		
Minnow, bluntnose	Pimephales notatus		
Minnow, cutlips	Exoglossum maxillingua		
Pickerel, chain	Esox niger		
Pumpkinseed	Lepomis gibbosus		

Table B-7. FISH OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA (Cont'd)

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Quillback	Carpiodes cyprinus
Redhorse, golden	Moxostoma erythrurum
Redhorse, shorthead	Moxostoma macrolepidotum
Sculpin, mottled	Cottus bairdii
Shiner, comely	Notropis amoenus
Shiner, common	Luxilus cornutus
Shiner, crescent	Luxilus cerasinus
Shiner, golden	Notemigonus crysoleucas
Shiner, highland (= southern rosyface; = redface)	Notropis micropteryx
Shiner, mimic	Notropis volucellus
Shiner, rosefin	Lythrurus ardens
Shiner, roughhead	Notropis semperasper
Shiner, satinfin	Cyprinella analostana
Shiner, spottail	Notropis hudsonius
Shiner, swallowtail	Notropis procne
Shiner, white	Luxilus albeolus
Stoneroller, central	Campostoma anomalum
Sucker, northern hog	Hypentelium nigricans
Sucker, torrent	Thoburnia rhothoeca
Sucker, white	Catostomus commersoni
Sunfish, redbreast	Lepomis auritus
Trout, brook	Salvelinus fontinalis
Trout, brown	Salmo trutta
Trout, rainbow	Oncorhynchus mykiss
Warmouth	Lepomis gulosus

Source: VDGIF Online Database (the search area included a circle with a 10-mile radius around point latitude 37°52′00.6″, longitude 79°53′ 58.8″), 2011.

Table B-8. AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATES OCCURRING OR POTENTIALLY OCCURRING WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
Crayfish	Orconectes obscurus
Crayfish	Orconectes cristavarius
Crayfish	Orconectes c. f. spinosus
Crayfish, Appalachian brook	Cambarus bartonii bartonii
Crayfish, no common name	Cambarus longulus
Crayfish, no common name	Cambarus acuminatus
Crayfish, spiny cheek	Orconectes limosus
Crayfish, virile	Orconectes virilis
Creeper	Strophitus undulatus
Floater, green	Lasmigona subviridis
Lance, Carolina	Elliptio angustata
Lance, yellow	Elliptio lanceolata
Mussel, eastern elliptio	Elliptio complanata
Pigtoe, Atlantic	Fusconaia masoni
Rainbow, notched	Villosa constricta
Spike, Atlantic	Elliptio producta
Springsnail, Blue Ridge	Fontigens orolibas
Springsnail	Fontigens morrisoni
Spinymussel, James	Pleurobema collina

Source: VDGIF Online Database (the search area included a circle with a 10-mile radius around point latitude 37°52′00.6″, longitude 79°53′ 58.8″), 2011.

APPENDIX C JACKSON RIVER HYDROGRAPHS

These Hydrographs were requested by the US Fish and Wildlife Service during the public review of this Environmental Assessment. The first described the Mean Monthly Flows in cubic feet per second (cfs) before the dam was build, during current operations of Gathright Dam and the Mean Flows projected for each of the three variations of the Preferred Alternative (PA). The second hydrograph shows the Minimum Mean Monthly Flows (cfs) that are now being met during current operations of the dam and for each of the three variations of the PA. There were no minimum low flow requirements prior to the construction of Gathright Dam.

			Mean Monthly Flows (cfs)			
		Current			Preferred Alternative Peak Pulse	
Month	Pre-Dam	Operations		3000 cfs	3,500 cfs	4,000 cfs
January	555	434		434	434	434
February	679	527		527	527	527
March	876	839		839	839	839
April	646	733		733	733	733
May	499	635		635	635	635
June	289	408		400	397	395
July	187	291		281	278	275
August	178	292		283	279	277
September	143	274		285	285	286
October	309	207		223	232	240
November	271	310		310	310	310
December	443	340		340	340	340

Pre-Dam: USGS Gage 02012500 Jackson River at Falling Spring, VA ratioed to USGS Gage 02011800 Jackson River Below Gathright Dam near Hot Springs, VA (Apr 1925 - Nov 1979)

<u>Current Operations</u>: USGS Gage 02011800 Jackson River Below Gathright Dam near Hot Springs, VA (Apr 1982 - Sep 2012) <u>Preferred Alternatives</u>: {USGS Gage 02011800 Jackson River Below Gathright Dam near Hot Springs, VA (Apr 1982 - Sep 2012)} - {Low Flow Augmentation Change} + {Pulse(s)}

Effect of Preferred Alternative on Minimum Mean Monthly Flows (cfs)						
		Current Low Flow	Preferred Alternative Peak Pulse			
Month	Pre-Dam	Requirements	3000 cfs	3,500 cfs	4,000 cfs	
January	N.A.	158	158	158	158	
February	N.A.	168	168	168	168	
March	N.A.	171	171	171	171	
April	N.A.	194	194	194	194	
May	N.A.	231	231	231	231	
June	N.A.	269	261	258	256	
July	N.A.	283	273	270	267	
August	N.A.	278	269	265	263	
September	N.A.	245	256	256	257	
October	N.A.	188	204	213	221	
November	N.A.	161	161	161	161	
December	N.A.	158	158	158	158	

Pre-Dam: N.A. - There were no minimum low flow requirements prior to Gathright Dam.

Current Operations: Gathright Dam and Lake Moomaw Final Regulation Manual, Aug 1984

Preferred Alternatives: {Current Low Flow Requirements} - {Low Flow Augmentation Change} + {Pulse(s)}

APPENDIX D PROJECT REVIEW PACKAGE FOR THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Species Conclusions Table

Project Name: Gathright Dam Low Flow Date: 26 March 2013

Species / Resource Name	Conclusion	ESA Section 7 /	Notes / Documentation
		Eagle Act Determination	
James spinymussel	* No suitable habitat present	No effect	The mussel is not currently found within the
(Pleurobema collina)	*No critical habitat present		mainstem of Jackson River, but it has been
	FWIS		found in tributaries of the river. This project will improve water quality of the Jackson River. This
			project will have no adverse impacts on the
			existing populations of James spinymussels.
Northeastern bulrush	*No suitable habitat present	No effect	
(Scirpus ancistrochaetus)	*No critical habitat present		
Shale barren rock cress	*No suitable habitat present	No effect	
(Arabis serotina)	*No critical habitat present		
Smooth coneflower	*No suitable habitat present	No effect	
chinacea laevigata)	*No critical habitat present		
Indiana bat	*Potential habitat present and	No effect	Although female bats may inhabit trees within
(Myotis sodalis)	no current survey conducted.		riparian forests surrounding the Jackson River
	*No critical habitat present		during the summer, this project will have no adverse impacts on this species.
Bald Eagle	*Unlikely to disturb nesting	No Eagle Act permit required	adverse impacts on this species.
<u> </u>	bald eagles.		
	*Does not intersect with an		
	eagle concentration area.		


IPaC - Information, Planning, and

Conservation System

Environmental Conservation Online System

(http://www.fws.gov)

IPaC Home Page (/ipac/) Initial Project Scoping (/ipac/wizard/chooseLocation!prepare.action)

Natural Resources of Concern

FAQs (/ipac/faqs.jsp) Project Builder ()

Step 1 (/ipac/wizard

/chooseLocation!prepare.action)

An online Endangered Species Act species list IS available on this page for your project area, represented by the office(s) listed below. Step 2 (/ipac/wizard

/chooseActivities!prepare.action+ Endangered Species Act species list below is for planning purposes only -- it is not an official species list. Activities

To request an official species list, click the Request an Official Species list link to the right and follow the instructions.

Step 3

Location

Trust resources list

VIRGINIA ECOLOGICAL SERVICES FIELD OFFICE 6669 SHORT LANE GLOUCESTER, VA 23061 (804) 693-6694 http://www.fws.gov/northe ast/virginiafield/ (http://www.fws.go giniafield/) ()

Step 4

Conservation measures

Project Location Map:

Note: The map reflects the map extent and map layers selected on Step 1 Location page. To change what appears on this map, return to the Location page and adjust the map extent or map layers.



Project Counties:

Alleghany, VA | Bath, VA | Botetourt, VA | Covington, VA

Project type: Water Quality Modification

Endangered Species Act Species List (USFWS Endangered Species Program (http://www.fws.g There are a total of 6 threatened, endangered, or candidate species, and/or designated critical habitat on your species list. Species on this list a and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fishes may appear on the species list because a projec Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

Species that may be affected by your project:

Status	Species Profile			
Endangered	species info (/ipac/wizard/speciesInformation!showSpeciesInformation.action?spcode=F025			
nts	I			
Endangered	species info (http://ecos.fws.gov/speciesProfile/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=Q21H)			
Endangered	species info (/ipac/wizard/speciesInformation!showSpeciesInformation.action?spcode=Q2X			
Endangered	species info (http://ecos.fws.gov/speciesProfile/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=Q293)			
	Endangered Its Endangered Endangered			

townsendii virg	inianus)			
Population: Entire				

Don't see a species you expect to see? (#)

FWS National Wildlife Refuges (USFWS National Wildlife Refuges Program (http://refuges.fws.g

There are no National Wildlife Refuges found within the vicinity of your project.

FWS Migratory Birds (USFWS Migratory Bird Program (http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/)).

Most species of birds, including eagles and other raptors, are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703). Bal protection under the <u>Bald and Golden Eagle Protection</u> <u>Act (http://www.fws.gov/midwest/eagle/protect/laws.html</u>) (16 U.S.C. <u>Concern (2008) (http://library.fws.gov/Bird Publications/BCC2008.pdf</u>) report identifies species, subspecies, and populations o additional conservation actions, are likely to become listed under the Endangered Species Act as amended (16 U.S.C 1531 et se

Wh

Е

R



The CENTER for CONSERVATION BIOLOGY

HOME / WHAT WE DO / RESEARCH / SPECIES OF CONCERN / BALD EAGLE /

EAGLE NEST LOCATOR

WELCOME TO THE VIRGINIA BALD EAGLE NEST LOCATOR!

The Center for Conservation Biology (CCB) has created a Google Maps application to allow users to locate CCB-documented eagle territories. CCB encourages the use of our data sets in wildlife conservation and management applications, but as a professional courtesy we ask that data users read and agree to the full terms of our Data Use Agreement. By viewing the Eagle Nest Locator on this site you agree to the Data Use Agreement and Terms of Use for VaEagles Nest Locator.

Data displayed reflects data from the 2011 Annual Bald Eagle Survey. All data/maps used according to this agreement should be cited using the following text: Watts, B. D. and M. A. Byrd. 2011. Virginia bald eagle nest survey: 2011 breeding season. Center for Conservation Biology, College of William and Mary and Virginia Commonwealth University, Williamsburg, VA.

Nest Status Definitions:

"Active/Occupied" indicates an active nest and/or an occupied territory.

"Recently Active" indicates a nest that has been active within the past 3 years and is known to still exist.



Map and Data copyright of The Center for Conservation Biology @ ccbbirds.org

Help CCB by Reporting Eagle Nest Locations

Despite our best efforts, an unknown number of eagle nests go unrecorded each year. This is particularly true in the Piedmont and mountains of Virginia where the survey does not cover. We believe that the public knows of many nests that are unknown to us. All active or recently active nests known to CCB (surveyed in last year's Annual Bald Eagle Survey plus reported with confirmed location) are presented in the eagle nest locator. Please view nests in your local area and report nests known to you that do not appear. Visit our Report a Nest page for instructions. Thanks for your help!

Annual Survey

The data contained in the VaEagles Nest Locator comes directly from Virginia's annual bald eagle survey. Breeding eagles have been surveyed annually in the lower Chesapeake Bay since 1956. The



GET

TRAC

Net



REAC

News S Photo

STAY

Sign u Biolog

Fir

2011 survey represents the 56th consecutive survey. Each year CCB biologists fly a nest survey in February and March to map eagle nests and to determine their activity status. This survey is followed in late April and May by a productivity survey where chicks are counted in each nest. The survey covers all tributaries of the lower Chesapeake, as well as, other prominent bodies of water and requires more than 100 hours of flight time in a high-wing Cessna. Biologists survey all known nest structures to determine their activity status and search for newly established nests. During the 2011 breeding season, CCB surveyed more than 1000 nest structures and documented more than 730 breeding pairs that produced more than 980 chicks.

Regulatory Contacts

Bald Eagles are sensitive to human disturbance. Since the 1970s nest sites have been managed using a combination of spatial buffers and time-of-year restrictions. Human activities that are considered to be detrimental to breeding pairs (e.g. residential, commercial, and industrial development, logging, use of toxic chemicals) are restricted within a "primary buffer" and human activities that are considered to impact the integrity of the primary buffer (e.g. construction of high-density developments, multi-story buildings, new roadways) are restricted within a "secondary buffer". Time-of-year restrictions are used to limit direct human activities (e.g. recreational activities, logging, mineral exploration, low-level aircraft operations) within buffer areas that may disturb eagles during sensitive periods of the nesting cycle.

The Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries (VDGIF) has legal jurisdiction over issues relating to bald eagle protection. The VDGIF, through its environmental services section, reviews proposed projects from government agencies and private individuals to identify possible impacts. Such reviews are encouraged and usually result in a considerable savings in time and money to the landowner. The two agencies listed here are the lead agencies for bald eagle reviews and recommendations in Virginia.

Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries P.O. Box 11104

Richmond, Virginia 23230-1104 (804) 367-8999

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Virginia Field Office 6669 Short Lane Gloucester, Virginia 23061 (804) 693-6694



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Ecological Services 6669 Short Lane Gloucester, Virginia 23061



Date:

Online Project Review Certification Letter

Project Name:

Dear Applicant:

Thank you for using the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) Virginia Field Office online project review process. By printing this letter in conjunction with your project review package, you are certifying that you have completed the online project review process for the referenced project in accordance with all instructions provided, using the best available information to reach your conclusions. This letter, and the enclosed project review package, completes the review of your project in accordance with the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531-1544, 87 Stat. 884), as amended (ESA), and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668-668c, 54 Stat. 250), as amended (Eagle Act). This letter also provides information for your project review under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-190, 42 U.S.C. 4321-4347, 83 Stat. 852), as amended. A copy of this letter and the project review package must be submitted to this office for this certification to be valid. This letter and the project review package will be maintained in our records.

The species conclusions table in the enclosed project review package summarizes your ESA and Eagle Act conclusions. These conclusions resulted in "no effect" and/or "not likely to adversely affect" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and/or "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for eagles regarding potential effects of your proposed project. We certify that the use of the online project review process in strict accordance with the instructions provided as documented in the enclosed project review package results in reaching the appropriate determinations. Therefore, we concur with the "no effect" and "not likely to adversely affect" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed species and critical habitat and "no Eagle Act permit required" determinations for listed sp

Candidate species are not legally protected pursuant to the ESA. However, the Service encourages consideration of these species by avoiding adverse impacts to them. Please contact this office for additional coordination if your project action area contains candidate species.

Should project plans change or if additional information on the distribution of listed species, critical habitat, or bald eagles becomes available, this determination may be reconsidered. This certification letter is valid for one year.

Information about the online project review process including instructions and use, species information, and other information regarding project reviews within Virginia is available at our website http://www.fws.gov/northeast/virginiafield/endspecies/project_reviews.html. If you have any questions, please contact Kimberly Smith of this office at (804) 693-6694, extension 124.

Sincerely,

/s/ Cynthia A. Schulz

Cindy Schulz Supervisor Virginia Field Office

Enclosures - project review package

APPENDIX E PERTINENT CORRESPONDENCE

Comment Submitted to the USACE website by Teresa Milstead during the Public Review Period dated January 12, 2013.

Message From: Teresa Milstead Email: <u>sandman9520@ntelos.net</u> Response requested: Yes

Message:

Please direct these comments to the appropriate department.

I live in Alleghany County VA, and am concerned about the revision to the lowflow augmentation plan being proposed. I understand the need to increase dissolved oxygen in the Jackson/James rivers and the need to flush the undesirable flora and fauna from the rivers. In Alleghany Co the Jackson River (especially between the dam and the Covington water treatment facility) is the primary area of recreation for many residents and visitors. Every day between May and October, many kayaks float this stretch of the river from morning until night. The kayaks are filled with all ages of people, from kids to retirees. Releases of more than 350-400 cf/s (I think she means 3500-4000) have caused dangerous situations for kayakers that come from as far away as Lexington, Lewisburg, and Roanoke to float down the river. Two years ago, a pulse was released on Memorial day weekend, and virtually closed the river for everyone that had come to visit our area. Several boats were lost and rescues were required due to the lack of information about the event.

In addition, both the Homestead and Greenbrier Resorts bring busloads of tourists to kayak and canoe down this stretch, and numerous trout fishing enthusiasts come here on their own or on guided trips to fish the rich waters.

The environmental assessment states that there will be no impact on recreation due to the revised flow plan, EXCEPT a period of 8-13 hours after the release, when recreation would be restricted. I suggest that the time of the pulses be scheduled at night, so that the water will be safe during the hours of heaviest use of the river. Also, I suggest that the pulses be scheduled regularly, such as the first and third Tuesday of the months affected, and the schedule be posted daily in all newspapers in the area continuously. I also suggest that all state licensed tour guides, outfitters, and so forth be notified well ahead of the planned releases to avoid injury to tourists visiting our area. The two named resorts should especially be notified, since they bring visitors to our area from all over the country, to avoid serious injury or death if they are on the river when a pulse is released.

Whenever a schedule is set up, it should be permanently posted at all public access points along the river. While many people live on the river and launch their canoes, floats, and kayaks from their yard, most will have to use and access point to leave the river. The notices should be highly visible and prominently displayed well ahead of the first pulsed release so that lives are not endangered.

I also understand that the revised release plan will basically reduce the river level by 1-3 inches all summer long. While that doesn't sound like much, it will mean a significant change in the floating conditions for people who've been on this river all their lives. Also, Alleghany Co has issued a water restriction due to the drought we are experiencing already. The river is low, Lake Moomaw is lower than I've ever seen it, and I still have salt on my vehicle from the snow we had several weeks ago. During the water use restriction, we are not allowed to wash our cars or water our yards or gardens. Will the revised plan cause further water restrictions during our hot summer months? Many people in this area are very poor, and depend on home gardens for food stores. Further water restrictions in this area could significantly impact the productivity of the home gardener.

The environmental assessment makes it clear that the problems in the river are primarily below the papermill, This does not surprise anyone, but the mill is the primary employer in the area. The county is well above the state in unemployment, and poorer as well. Nobody can afford to say "make Westvaco clean up the river" without fearing for their livelihood. It's not quite as bad as the coalminers in West Virginia, but that plant is one of the biggest polluters in the state, of both our water and our air. Not too many people even want to get on the "dirty Jackson" as it is called below the mill. Please don't let the attempt to reduce pollution below the mill ruin the few miles of pristine conditions we have on the Jackson River between Gathright Dam and the mill.

Thank you for the opportunity to voice my concerns. Please forward these comments to the environmental department as I could not find an email address in the Environmental Assessment for comments. If possible please respond when these comments are forwarded to the appropriate department.

Response to Teresa Milstead dated February 22, 2013:

Ms. Milstead,

Thank you for your email for January 12, 2013 regarding the Gathright Low Flow Augmentation Project. Your comments were thought provoking and I would like to take this opportunity to address them.

The first concern you expressed was in regard to the safety of people using the river during the pulses. We at the U.S. Corps of Engineers (the Corps) consider the safety of the communities below the dam and people who enjoy the Jackson River one of our highest priorities. In fact many of the ideas you suggested in your email were already under consideration. A schedule for the pulses will be determined each year before the summer season begins and the schedule will be made available to the public. The releases will occur on a regular timetable, such as having each release take place on a particular day each month. Once this schedule has been established, it will only be deviated from during unusual events, such as floods or drought events, and these deviations will be announced to the public as early as possible. This way, people who plan to use the river will be able to anticipate the releases and plan accordingly.

Currently, the Corps releases water from the Gathright Dam when flood events take place upstream of the Gathright Dam. The event that you described in your email, which occurred on Memorial Day 2011, was a result of a natural flooding event. It was not a planned release as described in this EA. However, after that event, the Corps realized that the agency needed to improve its communication with the communities downstream of the dam. We expanded the number of individuals and agencies that received notification of release events and we began posted releases on social media outlets such as FaceBook and Twitter.

With this proposed release schedule, the Corps will further increase its notification program. We have discussed creating a system where people can sign up to receive notices of high water events. Also, your suggestion to provide release information to the local outfitters and tour guides is an excellent idea and we plan to expand our notification list to include those businesses. We plan to post release schedules at river accesses, similarly to what has been done it the past. During past test pulses, the Corps posted notices at each U.S. Forest Service public assess location downstream of the Gathright Dam and at the assess point immediately below the dam.

Your second concern involved recreational opportunities on the Jackson River. The Corps manages Gathright Dam to maintain water related recreation in Lake Moomaw and to support the trout fishery downstream of the dam. The Corps considered recreation while planning this project. Throughout the planning process we have partnered with the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (VDEQ) and the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries (VDGIF). Members of these agencies met with Trout Unlimited and the Isaac Walton League in order to determine the impacts on fishing below the dam. These stakeholders indicated that slight reduced daily releases would have a minimal but potentially positive impact for fisherman in the Jackson River, even considering the loss of fishing for part of 6 days during the pulse releases.

You also mentioned floating the river. We contacted a local outfitter to determine the impacts of this project on kayaking and canoeing. The best water levels for these trips are between 180 CFS

and 300 CFS. None of the proposed alternatives would reduce water levels to a point where canoes and kayaks would not be able to use the river. Depending on releases sizes, the proposed project may actually increase the amount of water released into the river during the month of October, expanding canoeing and kayaking opportunities on the Jackson River into the fall.

Although days available to float down the river will be reduced by the change in the proposed project, these releases will provide the opportunity for scheduled fast water kayaking opportunities which are not currently available on the Jackson River.

You also asked "if the revised plan will cause further water restrictions during the summer". This plan will not change water restrictions. Alleghany County decides when to put water restrictions into place using the level of Lake Moomaw as a guide. The water used for the pulse releases will be completely offset by the reduction in the monthly low flow augmentation releases. So the new release schedule will not have an effect on Lake Moomaw levels or water restrictions.

Your last concern was about the environmental conditions in the Jackson River above the Mead WestVACO plant. The Corps has worked closely with the VDEQ and the VDGIF for many years to ensure that the change to the release schedule will not harm the Jackson River. We have performed three test pulses over the past three years. Before and after those releases, state biologists have collected extensive amounts of data to study the impacts of the releases on the Jackson River downstream of the Gathright Dam. The state agencies have concluded that the pulses will not cause large, long-term impacts to river conditions. These agencies, along with the Norfolk District, are committed to continuing this monitoring in the future to ensure that this project does not cause effect this stretch of the Jackson River or in Lake Moomaw. We plan to hold annual meetings with our partnering agencies and Mead WestVACO each year to review water quality data collected during the prior year in order to access the affects of the releases on the Jackson River. We will be able to adjust the schedule if needed.

I hope that I have answered all of your concerns regarding the Gathright Low Flow Augmentation Project. I have added more information to the Environmental Assessment to reflect your concerns and suggestions.

Thank you for your interest in this project,

Janet Cote

Email submitted by Timothy Morse of Mead WestVACO during the Public Review Period dated January 14, 2013.

Ms. Janet Cote, Ecologist, USACE;

MWV appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Environmental Assessment (EA) completed in regards to the Gathright Dam Low Flow Augmentation Project.

I previously provided some verbal comments to you by telephone concerning some minor, generally typographical items in the draft EA, which you took note of.

MWV supports making revisions to the Gathright Dam Water Control Plan to incorporate pulse releases as described in the Environmental Assessment document. MWV applauds the USACE for their willingness to take these prudent steps to further improve aquatic habitat and water quality by modifying the operation of the Gathright Dam to better simulate a more natural flow regime for the Jackson River.

The adaptive management approach is a positive approach in that it enables flexibility and a reasoned response to actual, real world conditions within a defined framework.

Other significant, positive aspects are that these modifications can be made without incurring additional costs and that there are no significant adverse impacts.

MWV looks forward to seeing the full implementation and positive ecosystem impacts of the pulse releases.

Thank you for the opportunity to share these comments.

Sincerely,

Timothy M. Morse SH&E Sr. Technical Adviser MWV - Richmond, VA

timothy.morse@mwv.com 804-444-7054 540-968-0317 cell

Email submitted by Elizabeth McKercher of Virginia Department of Environmental Quality on October 05, 2012.

From: Mckercher, Elizabeth (DEQ)
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2012 3:12 PM
To: Reece, Owen R NAO
Cc: Dail, Mary (DEQ); Hill, Jason (DEQ); janet.cote@usace.army.mil; Butt, Arthur
(DEQ)
Subject: Gathright EA: DEQ Comments

Good afternoon Owen,

DEQ's comments on the EA are attached. We had no comments on the supporting documents. We appreciate the opportunity to review and edit.

Best Regards, Liz

Liz McKercher

Watershed Programs Manager, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality 629 East Main Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219 PHONE 804.698.4291 FAX 804.698.4032 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1105, Richmond, Virginia 23218

Email submitted by Darlene Burcham of the town of Clifton Forge dated January 23, 2013.

The town has no comments. I would ask you to revise your officials list. Mayor Jimmie Houff passed away in October. The new mayor is Carl <u>Brinkley-</u> <u>carl.brinkley@cliftonforgeva.gov</u>, thanks.

Email submitted by Kimberly Smith of the US Fish and Wildlife Service dated January 29, 2013.

Janet,

The Service has reviewed the Environmental Assessment dated December 2012 and entitled "Gathright Dam Low Flow Augmentation Project Alleghany County, Virginia." The following comments are provided under provisions of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531-1544, 87 Stat. 884), as amended, and Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.).

* We no longer provide individual responses to requests for project reviews. We have developed a website where you can conduct a project review. This online project review system will help you determine which federally listed species may occur within the action area. This site is available at: http://www.fws.gov/northeast/virginiafield/endspecies/Project_Reviews_Introductio

n.html.

* James spinymussel is misspelled on page 23 and on page 38 there is a space between spiny and mussel. Assessment is misspelled on Cover Page.

* We request that you provide a hydrograph in your Environmental Assessment that shows a comparison of the river flows at pre-dam, present (no action), and the preferred alternative throughout a typical year.

Thanks, Kim

Kimberly Smith
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
6669 Short Lane
Gloucester, VA 23061
Kimberly Smith@fws.gov
804.693.6694 ext. 124
804.654.1924 work cell
804.693.9032 FAX
http://www.fws.gov/northeast/virginiafield/index

Email submitted by Liz McKercher of the Virginia DEQ dated February 2, 2013.

Janet,

The only feedback DEQ would like to provide on the EA that was under public comment recently is a need for clarification regarding flow regimes and drought contingency. I provide feedback from our Office of Water Supply below.

During times of significant drought, the releases from Gathright Dam supply a large portion of the flow in the James River at the fall line. For example, during the drought of 2002, monthly average flow rates at Cartersville were 888 in August and 745 cfs in September. During this time, releases from Lake Moomaw would have been in the neighborhood of 150-200 cfs, which would be approximately 15-25% of flow at Cartersville during that time. Because water withdrawal amounts and in-stream flow prescriptions in the lower James River are based upon the regularity of the Jackson river flows, the Office of Water Supply makes the following comments/recommendations:

§ The duration of the test pulse was listed as 2 hours, and their intention to provide up to 6 pulses per year was indicated. However, no description of the duration of these 6 pulses, the interval between pulses, nor any criteria for determining frequency or duration was provided.

§ Pulse releases should be curtailed, and normal low-flow augmentation releases should be reinstated during times of significant drought, perhaps concurrently with drought Emergency declaration in any part of the James River above the fallline.

Best Regards, Liz

Liz McKercher Watershed Programs Manager, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality 629 East Main Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219 PHONE 804.698.4291 FAX 804.698.4032

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1105, Richmond, Virginia 23218

Email submitted by Paul Bugas of the Virginia DGIS dated February 15, 2013.

Janet: Let's go with my original comments. Thanks, Paul

Paul Bugas Region 4 Aquatics Manager 540-248-9370 (office)

-----Original Message-----From: Cote, Janet NAO [mailto:Janet.Cote@usace.army.mil] Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 3:33 PM To: Bugas, Paul (DGIF) Subject: FW: Gathright Draft EA (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Here you go. J

-----Original Message-----From: Bugas, Paul (DGIF) [mailto:Paul.Bugas@dgif.virginia.gov] Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2012 11:13 AM To: Reece, Owen R NAO; Hill, Jason (DEQ); Dail, Mary (DEQ); Mckercher, Elizabeth (DEQ); Benelmouffok, Djamel; 'EL-Farhan, Raed'; Hudgins, Mark H NAO; Schulte, David M NAO Cc: Cote, Janet NAO; Ives, Lawrence H NAO; Reeser, Steve (DGIF) Subject: RE: Gathright Draft EA (UNCLASSIFIED)

Owen et al: Here is an edited version of the Gathright Draft EA from DGIF's viewpoint. My apologies for not getting it submitted on Friday. I am assuming a second draft will be forthcoming once everyone has responded this one? Paul

Paul Bugas Region 4 Aquatics Manager 540-248-9370 (office)

Email submitted by Patrick Sheridan of the US Forest Service dated February 21, 2013.

Hi Janet.

I may have missed it, but I would appreciate knowing the pulse schedules for the year as far in advance as possible.

No other issues from me....thank you for your patience.

APPENDIX F

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

Teresa Milstead (January 12 2013)

<u>Comment</u>: In Alleghany Co the Jackson River (especially between the dam and the Covington water treatment facility) is the primary area of recreation for many residents and visitors. Every day between May and October, many kayaks float this stretch of the river from morning until night. The kayaks are filled with all ages of people, from kids to retirees. Releases of more than 350-400 cf/s (I think she means 3500-4000) have caused dangerous situations for kayakers that come from as far away as Lexington, Lewisburg, and Roanoke to float down the river. Two years ago, a pulse was released on Memorial Day weekend, and virtually closed the river for everyone that had come to visit our area. Several boats were lost and rescues were required due to the lack of information about the event.

<u>Response:</u> The first concern you expressed was in regard to the safety of people using the river during the pulses. We at the U.S. Corps of Engineers (the Corps) consider the safety of the communities below the dam and people who enjoy the Jackson River one of our highest priorities. In fact many of the ideas you suggested in your email were already under consideration. A schedule for the pulses will be determined each year before the summer season begins and the schedule will be made available to the public. The releases will occur on a regular timetable, such as having each release take place on a particular day each month. Once this schedule has been established, it will only be deviated from during unusual events, such as floods or drought events, and these deviations will be announced to the public as early as possible. This way, people who plan to use the river will be able to anticipate the releases and plan accordingly.

Currently, the Corps releases water from the Gathright Dam when flood events take place upstream of the Gathright Dam. The event that you described in your email, which occurred on Memorial Day 2011, was a result of a natural flooding event. It was not a planned release as described in this EA. However, after that event, the Corps realized that the agency needed to improve its communication with the communities downstream of the dam. We expanded the number of individuals and agencies that received notification of release events and we began posted releases on social media outlets such as FaceBook and Twitter.

With this proposed release schedule, the Corps will further increase its notification program. We have discussed creating a system where people can sign up to receive notices of high water events. Also, your suggestion to provide release information to the local outfitters and tour guides is an excellent idea and we plan to expand our notification list to include those businesses. We plan to post release schedules at river accesses, similarly to what has been done it the past. During past test pulses, the Corps posted notices at each U.S. Forest Service public assess location downstream of the Gathright Dam and at the assess point immediately below the dam.

<u>Comment:</u> The environmental assessment states that there will be no impact on recreation due to the revised flow plan, EXCEPT a period of 8-13 hours after the release, when recreation would be restricted. I suggest that the time of the pulses be scheduled at night, so that the water will be safe during the hours of heaviest use of the river. Also, I suggest that the pulses be scheduled regularly, such as the first and third Tuesday of the months affected, and the schedule be posted daily in all newspapers in the area continuously. I also suggest that all state licensed tour guides, outfitters, and so forth be notified well ahead of the planned releases to avoid injury to tourists visiting our area. The two named resorts should especially be notified, since they bring visitors

to our area from all over the country, to avoid serious injury or death if they are on the river when a pulse is released.

Whenever a schedule is set up, it should be permanently posted at all public access points along the river. While many people live on the river and launch their canoes, floats, and kayaks from their yard, most will have to use and access point to leave the river. The notices should be highly visible and prominently displayed well ahead of the first pulsed release so that lives are not endangered.

I also understand that the revised release plan will basically reduce the river level by 1-3 inches all summer long. While that doesn't sound like much, it will mean a significant change in the floating conditions for people who've been on this river all their lives.

<u>Response:</u> Your second concern involved recreational opportunities on the Jackson River. The Corps manages Gathright Dam to maintain water related recreation in Lake Moomaw and to support the trout fishery downstream of the dam. The Corps considered recreation while planning this project. Throughout the planning process we have partnered with the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (VDEQ) and the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries (VDGIF). Members of these agencies met with Trout Unlimited and the Isaac Walton League in order to determine the impacts on fishing below the dam. These stakeholders indicated that slight reduced daily releases would have a minimal but potentially positive impact for fisherman in the Jackson River, even considering the loss of fishing for part of 6 days during the pulse releases.

You also mentioned floating the river. We contacted a local outfitter to determine the impacts of this project on kayaking and canoeing. The best water levels for these trips are between 180 CFS and 300 CFS. None of the proposed alternatives would reduce water levels to a point where canoes and kayaks would not be able to use the river. Depending on releases sizes, the proposed project may actually increase the amount of water released into the river during the month of October, expanding canoeing and kayaking opportunities on the Jackson River into the fall.

Although days available to float down the river will be reduced by the change in the proposed project, these releases will provide the opportunity for scheduled fast water kayaking opportunities which are not currently available on the Jackson River.

<u>Comment:</u> Also, Alleghany Co has issued a water restriction due to the drought we are experiencing already. The river is low, Lake Moomaw is lower than I've ever seen it, and I still have salt on my vehicle from the snow we had several weeks ago. During the water use restriction, we are not allowed to wash our cars or water our yards or gardens. Will the revised plan cause further water restrictions during our hot summer months? Many people in this area are very poor, and depend on home gardens for food stores. Further water restrictions in this area could significantly impact the productivity of the home gardener.

<u>Response:</u> You also asked "if the revised plan will cause further water restrictions during the summer". This plan will not change water restrictions. Alleghany County decides when to put water restrictions into place using the level of Lake Moomaw as a guide. The water used for the pulse releases will be completely offset by the reduction in the monthly low flow augmentation

releases. So the new release schedule will not have an effect on Lake Moomaw levels or water restrictions.

<u>Comment:</u> The environmental assessment makes it clear that the problems in the river are primarily below the papermill. This does not surprise anyone, but the mill is the primary employer in the area. The county is well above the state in unemployment, and poorer as well. Nobody can afford to say "make Westvaco clean up the river" without fearing for their livelihood. It's not quite as bad as the coalminers in West Virginia, but that plant is one of the biggest polluters in the state, of both our water and our air. Not too many people even want to get on the "dirty Jackson" as it is called below the mill. Please don't let the attempt to reduce pollution below the mill ruin the few miles of pristine conditions we have on the Jackson River between Gathright Dam and the mill.

<u>Response:</u> Your last concern was about the environmental conditions in the Jackson River above the Mead WestVACO plant. The Corps has worked closely with the VDEQ and the VDGIF for many years to ensure that the change to the release schedule will not harm the Jackson River. We have performed three test pulses over the past three years. Before and after those releases, state biologists have collected extensive amounts of data to study the impacts of the releases on the Jackson River downstream of the Gathright Dam. The state agencies have concluded that the pulses will not cause large, long-term negative impacts to river conditions. These agencies, along with the Norfolk District, are committed to continuing this monitoring in the future to ensure that this project does not cause effect this stretch of the Jackson River or in Lake Moomaw. We plan to hold annual meetings with our partnering agencies and Mead WestVACO each year to review water quality data collected during the prior year in order to access the affects of the releases on the Jackson River. We will be able to adjust the schedule if needed.

US Fish and Wildlife Service (January 29, 2013)

<u>Comment:</u> We no longer provide individual responses to requests for project reviews. We have developed a website where you can conduct a project review. This online project review system will help you determine which federally listed species may occur within the action area. This site is available at:

http://www.fws.gov/northeast/virginiafield/endspecies/Project_Reviews_Introduction.html.

<u>Response:</u> The Corps completed the online project review process. No impacts were determined to result from the implementation of this project. The documents produced from this evaluation are included in this document.

<u>Comment:</u> James spinymussel is misspelled on page 23 and on page 38 there is a space between spiny and mussel. Assessment is misspelled on Cover Page.

Response: The misspellings were fixing in the EA.

<u>Comment:</u> We request that you provide a hydrograph in your Environmental Assessment that shows a comparison of the river flows at pre-dam, present (no action), and the preferred alternative throughout a typical year.

<u>Response:</u> A hydrograph of peak flows on the Jackson River at Falling Springs, VA was added to the EA as Table 15. Two hydrographs, one showing the required minimum mean monthly flows and the other showing the mean monthly flows that would occur down stream of the Gathright Dam were added to the EA in Appendix c.

Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, Water Supply (January 23, 2013)

<u>Comment:</u> The only feedback DEQ would like to provide on the EA that was under public comment recently is a need for clarification regarding flow regimes and drought contingency. I provide feedback from our Office of Water Supply below.

During times of significant drought, the releases from Gathright Dam supply a large portion of the flow in the James River at the fall line. For example, during the drought of 2002, monthly average flow rates at Cartersville were 888 in August and 745 cfs in September. During this time, releases from Lake Moomaw would have been in the neighborhood of 150-200 cfs, which would be approximately 15-25% of flow at Cartersville during that time. Because water withdrawal amounts and in-stream flow prescriptions in the lower James River are based upon the regularity of the Jackson river flows, the Office of Water Supply makes the following comments/recommendations:

§ The duration of the test pulse was listed as 2 hours, and their intention to provide up to 6 pulses per year was indicated. However, no description of the duration of these 6 pulses, the interval between pulses, nor any criteria for determining frequency or duration was provided.

§ Pulse releases should be curtailed, and normal low-flow augmentation releases should be reinstated during times of significant drought, perhaps concurrently with drought Emergency declaration in any part of the James River above the fall-line.

<u>Response:</u> The DEQ was contacted on February 21 by Owen Reece to discuss the comments the agency provided. Mr. Reece summarized the conversation in the email included below.

I discussed the two DEQ comments with Brian McGurk, Environmental Program Planner, Office of Water Supply, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality on February 14, 2012. A summary of our discussion follows.

The first comment regarding the EA containing "no description of the duration of these 6 pulses, the interval between pulses, nor any criteria for determining frequency or duration was provided" will need to be addressed by revising the EA. Specifically:

(1) The pulse will be conducted over an eight hour period. Three hours to gradually increase the flow from that month's low flow augmentation flow up to the peak pulse flow. The peak pulse release will be held for two hours. The final three hours will gradually reduce the flow from the peak pulse flow to that month's low flow augmentation flow.

(2 & 3) The interval between the pulse should be addressed in the annual schedule of pulses developed each year based on hydrologic conditions and experience gained from the previous years of pulsing. Initially, there would be a three to four week interval between pulses.

Additionally, it should be included in the EA that the District will retain the ability to respond to significant drought conditions by modifying the releases from the project as has been done several times in the past to preserve conservation storage in Lake Moomaw.

Brian said the comments were developed by Robert Burgholzer in the Office of Water Supply and he believed my responses would satisfy the concerns expressed in the comments.

Virginia DGIS (February 15, 2013)

Comment: Mr. Paul Bugas provided a copy of the EA on which he included his comments.

<u>Response:</u> All comments provided by the Virginia DGIF were incorporated into the EA prior to the release of the document for public review.

US Forest Service (February 21, 2013)

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Patrick Sheridan of the US Forest Service asked to be informed of the pulse schedule as soon as it is determined each year.

"I may have missed it, but I would appreciate knowing the pulse schedules for the year as far in advance as possible."

Response: An email (see below) was sent to Mr. Sheridan describing when the pulse schedules would be determined each year and to acknowledge that the Corps would inform the USFS of the schedule as so as it is established.

"The plan is to have annual meetings with the partners to discuss the previous year's experiences and to set the next years pulse schedule. I believe these are going to be held in March. I will make sure that Owen sends your Agency the schedule as soon as it's determined each year."