

NEPA
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW
PACKET

8 2

3 2

LA

RADE

ARK KISTEL

DR 100000 within h

SIGNED
REC

Date the Review was completed	8/31/15
Date Change Memo was delivered to the COR	NA
Date the Changes were completed	NA
Date the REC was delivered to the COR	9/2/15

RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION (REC)

Proponent Office is ELTY-RKE

Project Title: AFH Playground Upgrades	JON or PR: 4221877
---	-----------------------

Description of Proposed Action:
Playgrounds at Army Family Housing will be upgraded with new rubberized mulch, new swings with rubber coated chains, and rubber timber playground borders. Existing playground borders will be removed from all sites. Existing gravel will be removed from 510B playground area. Rubber playground mulch will be installed on top of the existing rubber tile playground floor. Bid will include an option to purchase and install a new swing set for the swing set area at 510B.

POC: Mark Kisel x5-7264

Anticipated Date and/or Duration of Proposed Action (Month/Year): **October 2015**

It has been determined that the action (choose one):

a. Is adequately covered in the existing _____ EA _____ EIA _____ EIS

Title:


Date:

b. This action is categorically excluded under the provisions of 32 CFR 651, Appendix B, Section II, paragraph _____ and no extraordinary circumstances exist as defined in paragraph 651.29(b). The facility involved will not be significantly altered by the operation of the completed project and the operation of the completed project will not, in itself, have a significant environmental impact.

c. Is exempt from NEPA requirements under the provisions of following superseding law:

Contingent Upon:

Date: Aug 24, 2015	Project Proponent, Name & Title: (Type and sign) Chris Sheerer, Civil Engineer	<p align="center">SHEERER.CHRIS. 1374302384</p> <small>Digitally signed by SHEERER.CHRIS.1374302384 DN: cn=US, o=U.S. Government, ou=DoD, ou=PMO, ou=USBA, cn=SHEERER.CHRIS.1374302384 Date: 2015.08.24 14:54:21 -0400</small>
-----------------------	---	--

Date: <i>1 Sep 15</i>	Chief, Environmental Management Division (Installation Environmental Coordinator): (Type and sign) 
--------------------------	---

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE 2

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUBMITTING A RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL
CONSIDERATION (REC) TO ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DIVISION (EMD)**

1. General Instructions: The National Environmental Policy Act is a federal law that was passed by Congress in 1969. This federal law requires all federal facilities to review actions that may have adverse impacts the environment. Below is a sample of actions that may cause adverse impacts to the environment:

- | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| a. Construction projects | h. Fences/retaining walls |
| b. Equipment purchases | i. Asbestos removal |
| c. Workload transfers | j. Installation carpets |
| d. Repairs | k. Painting |
| e. Renovations | l. Electrical |
| f. Clearing of trees | m. Plumbing |
| g. Snow removal | |

Please note that there may be other actions that may cause adverse impacts to the environment. They also will be required to be reviewed by EMD. Any project that could cause harm to the environment must be forwarded to EMD for review. A REC must be signed by both the proponents, Division Chief and the Chief of Environmental Management Division. If you have any questions, call EMD at X57098.

II. How Long Does the Review Take: For small projects (generally less than \$100,000), allow five days for EMD to review it. For larger projects (generally more than \$100,000), or more complex projects, allow ten days for EMD to review it. This review will take place before the package is forwarded to D/Contracting, Contracting Division.

III. What to Submit: Plans, specifications, scope of work, fill in all applicable portions of the REC. (See Part IV for instructions)

IV. How to complete Record of Environmental Consideration (AMSEL-TY Form 6127)

1. **Project Title:** Include the building where the work is located.
2. **JON or PR#:** Fill in the JON or PR#.
3. **Description of Proposed Action:** Briefly describe the proposed action.
4. **Anticipated Date and/or Duration of Proposed Action (Month/Year):** Fill in anticipated date.
- 5a. **Mark either a, b, or c.**
- 5b. **Fill in blank in item b.** Generally, construction projects fall under category C-2. Generally, equipment purchases fall under category E-4. If the project does not fit, or if you have any questions, call EMD at X57098.
6. **Contingent Upon:** Leave blank.
7. **Project Proponent, Name and Title (Type and sign):** This must be signed by a Division Chief or acting Division Chief.
8. **For Chief, Environmental Management Division signature:** Leave blank.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Documents **FY** _____

Use in accordance with 32 CFR 651; Proponent office is ELTY-ISR-E

<u>Functional Area</u>	<u>Program Manager</u>	<u>Initial</u> <u>/Date</u>	<u>Concur</u>	<u>NEPA Spec # to Incorporate in</u> <u>Project</u>
Asbestos	Argust/Mazza	No		
Demolition Notification	Argust/Mazza	Yes		
GIS mapping Coordination	Argust/Wildoner	Yes		
IRP/AOCs	Argust/Parrent	Yes		
Lead-based Paint	Argust/Parrent	No		
MMRP	Argust/Parrent	Yes		
PCBs	Clutter/Mazza	Jan 8/31	✓	
UST/AST	Clutter/Mazza	Jan 8/31	✓	
Energy Conservation	Ruskey/Vacant	DL 4/29	✓	
LEED	Ruskey/Vacant	↓	↓	
Pollution Prevention	Parrent/Ruskey	M/31 Aug 15	✓	
Hazardous Waste	Gross/Parrent	8/31	✓	
Cultural Resources	Kresge/Argust	JK 8/25	✓	
Natural Resources	Kresge/Argust	JK ↓	✓	
Pest Management	Kresge/Argust	JK ↓	✓	
Buy Recycled	Mazza/Clutter	Jan 8/25/15	✓	
Solid Waste Disposal	Mazza/Clutter	Jan 8/25/15	✓	
Recycling	Mazza/Clutter	Jan 8/25/15	✓	
HAZCOM	Parrent/Gross	8/29 Aug	✓	
Mercury	Parrent/Gross	↓	✓	

ADD Comment 1 to meet concurrence.

ADD Comment 1 to meet concurrence.

Note: Concurrence indicates that the Program Manager has reviewed the project for their respective programs and is allowing it to proceed without further revision or modification to the proposed project.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Documents **FY** _____

Use in accordance with 32 CFR 651; Proponent office is ELTY-ISR-E

<u>Functional Area</u>	<u>Program Manager</u>	<u>Initial /Date</u>	<u>Concur</u>	<u>NEPA Spec # to Incorporate in Project</u>
Air Quality	Ruskey/Gross	<i>[Signature]</i> 8/17	✓	
Conformity Rule	Ruskey/Vacant			
ISO14001	Ruskey/Parrent			
Noise	Ruskey/Vacant			
ODS	Ruskey/Vacant			
Backflow/Cross Connection	Wildoner/ Ruskey	<i>TGR 8/25</i>	✓	
Drinking Water	Wildoner/Ruskey			
Erosion Control	Wildoner/Argust			
NPDES	Wildoner/Ruskey			
Water Quality	Wildoner/Ruskey			
Wetlands	Wildoner/Kresge			

Note: Concurrence indicates that the Program Manager has reviewed the project for their respective programs and is allowing it to proceed without further revision or modification to the proposed project.

SAFETY REVIEW OF CONTRACT

PROJECT NAME AFW PLAY GD

Date 8/26/15

Reviewer JOHN LYMAN

Approved:

Approved with comment:

Disapproved:

Comments PLEASE INCLUDE NEW
SAFETY STANDARDS