

NEPA ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PACKET

Received @ EB	Required Completion Date From EB	Required Documents	
7/6/16	7/12/16	NEPA Specs	✓
		NEPA Checklist	✓
		Comment Sheet	✓

PROJECT NAME AFH RENOVATIONS

COR MARK BOYLAN

An Environmental Branch Program Manager must review each project for each applicable program area. EB has agreed to review small projects within one week and large projects within two weeks.

Date the Review was completed	7/13/16
Date Change Memo was delivered to the COR	NA
Date the Changes were completed	NA
Date the REC was delivered to the COR	7/13/16

SIGNED
REC

RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION (REC)

Proponent Office is ELTY-RKE

Project Title:
Army Family Housing Improvements

JON or PR:
421 4,6,7,8,9,10,11 6 J

Description of Proposed Action:
Install shelving in 26 garages.
Install Water Spigots near fire pits.
Landscaping.
Lighted Bollards.
Concrete pads.
Pavement (Maher Street & walking Trail).
Sun Room

*NOTE There are a total of 7 IJO's one for each project.

Anticipated Date and/or Duration of Proposed Action (Month/Year): **August 2016**

It has been determined that the action (choose one):

a. Is adequately covered in the existing EA EIA EIS

Title:

Date:

b. This action is categorically excluded under the provisions of 32 CFR 651, Appendix B, Section II, paragraph C-1 and no extraordinary circumstances exist as defined in paragraph 651.29(b). The facility involved will not be significantly altered by the operation of the completed project and the operation of the completed project will not, in itself, have a significant environmental impact.

c. Is exempt from NEPA requirements under the provisions of following superseding law:

Contingent Upon:

Date:
Jul 6, 2016

Project Proponent, Name & Title: (Type and sign)

Patrick Monahan, Chief, Engineering Br.

Digitally signed by
MICHAEL L. PARRENT
DN: cn=USA, o=U.S. Government, ou=DoD, ou=PR,
ou=USA,
cn=MICHAEL.PATRICK.ROBERT.1034004038
Date: 2016.07.06 07:55:29 -0500

Date:
13 Jul 16

Chief, Environmental Management Division (Installation Environmental Coordinator): (Type and sign)

MICHAEL L. PARRENT ACTING CHIEF ENVIRONMENTAL BRANCH

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE 2

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Documents FY _____

Use in accordance with 32 CFR 651; Proponent office is ELTY-ISR-E

<u>Functional Area</u>	<u>Program Manager</u>	<u>Initial</u> <u>/Date</u>	<u>Concur</u>	<u>NEPA Spec # to Incorporate in</u> <u>Project</u>
Asbestos	Argust/Mazza	Jm 7/13	✓	
Demolition Notification	Argust/Mazza	Jm 7/13	✓	
GIS mapping Coordination	Argust/Wildoner	Jm 7/13 TW 7/13	✓	
IRP/AOCs	Argust/Parrent			
Lead-based Paint	Argust/Parrent			
MMRP	Argust/Parrent			
PCBs	Clutter/Mazza	Jm 7/6	✗	
UST/AST	Clutter/Mazza	Jm 7/6	✗	
Energy Conservation	Ruskey/Vacant	Jm 7/17	✓	
LEED	Ruskey/Vacant	Jm 7/17	✓	
Pollution Prevention	Parrent/Ruskey			
Hazardous Waste	Gross/Parrent	VG 7/6	✓	
Cultural Resources	Kresge/Argust	K 7/4	✓	
Natural Resources	Kresge/Argust	K 7/4	✓	
Pest Management	Kresge/Argust	K 7/4	✓	
Buy Recycled	Mazza/Clutter	Jm 7/13	✓	
Solid Waste Disposal	Mazza/Clutter	Jm 7/13	✓	
Recycling	Mazza/Clutter	Jm 7/13	✓	
HAZCOM	Parrent/Gross			
Mercury	Parrent/Gross			

Note: Concurrence indicates that the Program Manager has reviewed the project for their respective programs and is allowing it to proceed without further revision or modification to the proposed project.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Documents FY _____

Use in accordance with 32 CFR 651; Proponent office is ELTY-ISR-E

<u>Functional Area</u>	<u>Program Manager</u>	<u>Initial /Date</u>	<u>Concur</u>	<u>NEPA Spec # to Incorporate in Project</u>
Air Quality	Ruskey/Gross			
Conformity Rule	Ruskey/Vacant			
ISO14001	Ruskey/Parrent			
Noise	Ruskey/Vacant			
ODS	Ruskey/Vacant			
Backflow/Cross Connection	Wildoner/ Ruskey			
Drinking Water	Wildoner/Ruskey			
Erosion Control	Wildoner/Argust			
NPDES	Wildoner/Ruskey			
Water Quality	Wildoner/Ruskey			
Wetlands	Wildoner/Kresge			

Note: Concurrence indicates that the Program Manager has reviewed the project for their respective programs and is allowing it to proceed without further revision or modification to the proposed project.

ENVIRONMENTAL BRANCH REVIEW COMMENTS

Project

Location

Date:

SOW
Section

Comments

Gen

ARE THE OLD CONCRETE PADS Being Removed?
 -there is conflicting information whether it is a new
 pad addition or cut and removal? This impacts
 C&D Rates/Removal.