

NEPA ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PACKET

Received @ EB	Required Completion Date From EB	Required Documents	
8/11/2016	8/17/2016	NEPA Specs	✓
		NEPA Checklist	✓
		Comment Sheet	✓

PROJECT NAME AFH PAVILION
COR MIKE BOYLAN

An Environmental Branch Program Manager must review each project for each applicable program area. EB has agreed to review small projects within one week and large projects within two weeks.

SIGNED
REC

Date the Review was completed	8/17/16
Date Change Memo was delivered to the COR	NA
Date the Changes were completed	NA
Date the REC was delivered to the COR	8/17/16

RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION (REC)

Proponent Office is ELTY-RKE

Project Title: Pavilion (Morse Court) Army Family Housing	JON or PR: 421 12 6 J
--	--------------------------

Description of Proposed Action:
Design/Build contract for the the construction of a Pavilion at Morse Court located at Army Family Housing at Tobyhanna Army Depot in a previously disturbed area (grass field). Design services shall include site evaluations, design concept meetings and concept drawing review, preparation of construction specifications, construction drawings, and construction cost estimates.

Pavilion shall consist of:

- 30'X44' Tubular Steel Pavilion with Multi- Ribbed Metal Roof and Decorative Gable Ends.
- 30'X44' Monolithic Re- Enforced Concrete Pad with 6 - 12"X 42" Piers.
- LED Canopy Lighting.
- Small Enclosure with Utility Sink , Heat And Lighting.

All work for this project will comply with TYAD Installation Design Guides and Master Plan.

The above described action has been determined to meet the screening criteria as set forth in 32 CFR 651.29. No extraordinary circumstances exist that preclude the use of a categorical exclusion.

Anticipated Date and/or Duration of Proposed Action (Month/Year):	Anticipated Start Date of October 2016
---	--

It has been determined that the action (choose one):

a. Is adequately covered in the existing EA EIA EIS

Title:

Date:

b. This action is categorically excluded under the provisions of 32 CFR 651, Appendix B, Section II, paragraph C-1 and no extraordinary circumstances exist as defined in paragraph 651.29(b). The facility involved will not be significantly altered by the operation of the completed project and the operation of the completed project will not, in itself, have a significant environmental impact.

c. Is exempt from NEPA requirements under the provisions of following superseding law:

Contingent Upon:

Date: Aug 10, 2016	Project Proponent, Name & Title: (Type and sign) Patrick R. Monahan, P.E., Chief, Engineering Br.	Digitally signed by MONAHAN PATRICK,ROBERT 1034004036 DN: cn=US, o=U S Government, ou=DoD, ou=PKI, ou=ISA, 2.5.4=MONAHAN PATRICK,ROBERT.1034004036 Date: 2016.08.10 16:32:23 -0400
Date: <i>17 Aug 16</i>	Chief, Environmental Management Division (Installation Environmental Coordinator: (Type and sign) Michael L. Parrent, Chief Environmental Branch	

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE 2

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUBMITTING A RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL
CONSIDERATION (REC) TO ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DIVISION (EMD)**

1. General Instructions: The National Environmental Policy Act is a federal law that was passed by Congress in 1969. This federal law requires all federal facilities to review actions that may have adverse impacts the environment. Below is a sample of actions that may cause adverse impacts to the environment:

- | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| a. Construction projects | h. Fences/retaining walls |
| b. Equipment purchases | i. Asbestos removal |
| c. Workload transfers | j. Installation carpets |
| d. Repairs | k. Painting |
| e. Renovations | l. Electrical |
| f. Clearing of trees | m. Plumbing |
| g. Snow removal | |

Please note that there may be other actions that may cause adverse impacts to the environment. They also will be required to be reviewed by EMD. Any project that could cause harm to the environment must be forwarded to EMD for review. A REC must be signed by both the proponents, Division Chief and the Chief of Environmental Management Division. If you have any questions, call EMD at X57098.

II. How Long Does the Review Take: For small projects (generally less than \$100,000), allow five days for EMD to review it. For larger projects (generally more than \$100,000), or more complex projects, allow ten days for EMD to review it. This review will take place before the package is forwarded to D/Contracting, Contracting Division.

III. What to Submit: Plans, specifications, scope of work, fill in all applicable portions of the REC. (See Part IV for instructions)

IV. How to complete Record of Environmental Consideration (AMSEL-TY Form 6127)

1. **Project Title:** Include the building where the work is located.

2. **JON or PR#:** Fill in the JON or PR#.

3. **Description of Proposed Action:** Briefly describe the proposed action.

4. **Anticipated Date and/or Duration of Proposed Action (Month/Year):** Fill in anticipated date.

5a. Mark either a, b, or c.

5b. Fill in blank in item b. Generally, construction projects fall under category C-2. Generally, equipment purchases fall under category

E-4. If the project does not fit, or if you have any questions, call EMD at X57098.

6. **Contingent Upon:** Leave blank.

7. **Project Proponent, Name and Title (Type and sign):** This must be signed by a Division Chief or acting Division Chief.

8. **For Chief, Environmental Management Division signature:** Leave blank.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Documents **FY** _____

Use in accordance with 32 CFR 651; Proponent office is ELTY-ISR-E

<u>Functional Area</u>	<u>Program Manager</u>	<u>Initial</u> <u>/Date</u>	<u>Concur</u>	<u>NEPA Spec # to Incorporate in</u> <u>Project</u>
Asbestos	Argust/Mazza	3/9/16 ↓	✓	
Demolition Notification	Argust/Mazza		✓	
GIS mapping Coordination	Argust/Wildoner		✓	
IRP/AOCs	Argust/Parrent		✓	
Lead-based Paint	Argust/Parrent		✓	
MMRP	Argust/Parrent		✓	
PCBs	Clutter/Mazza	J 11 Aug	✓	
UST/AST	Clutter/Mazza	J 11 Aug	✓	
Energy Conservation	Ruskey/Vacant	RV 17 Aug	✓	
LEED	Ruskey/Vacant	RV	✓	
Pollution Prevention	Parrent/Ruskey	PR	✓	
Hazardous Waste	Gross/Parrent	PG		See Commit
Cultural Resources	Kresge/Argust	AK 8/6	✓	
Natural Resources	Kresge/Argust	AK 8/16	✓	
Pest Management	Kresge/Argust	AK 8/10	✓	
Buy Recycled	Mazza/Clutter	JM 8/17	✓	
Solid Waste Disposal	Mazza/Clutter	JM 8/12	✓	
Recycling	Mazza/Clutter	JM 8/17	✓	
HAZCOM	Parrent/Gross	PG 17 Aug	✓	
Mercury	Parrent/Gross	PG	✓	

Note: Concurrence indicates that the Program Manager has reviewed the project for their respective programs and is allowing it to proceed without further revision or modification to the proposed project.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Documents FY _____

Use in accordance with 32 CFR 651; Proponent office is ELTY-ISR-E

<u>Functional Area</u>	<u>Program Manager</u>	<u>Initial</u> <u>/Date</u>	<u>Concur</u>	<u>NEPA Spec # to Incorporate in</u> <u>Project</u>
Air Quality	Ruskey/Gross	<i>MR</i>		
Conformity Rule	Ruskey/Vacant	<i>MR</i>		
ISO14001	Ruskey/Parrent	<i>MR</i>		
Noise	Ruskey/Vacant	<i>MR</i>		
ODS	Ruskey/Vacant	<i>MR</i>		
Backflow/Cross Connection	Wildoner/ Ruskey	<i>WJW 8/16</i>	✓	
Drinking Water	Wildoner/Ruskey	↓	↓	
Erosion Control	Wildoner/Argust	↓		
NPDES	Wildoner/Ruskey	↓		
Water Quality	Wildoner/Ruskey	↓		
Wetlands	Wildoner/Kresge	↓		

Note: Concurrence indicates that the Program Manager has reviewed the project for their respective programs and is allowing it to proceed without further revision or modification to the proposed project.

