

---

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plan (ICRMP) is an internal compliance and management tool that integrates the cultural resources program with ongoing mission activities at Tobyhanna Army Depot (TYAD), Coolbaugh Township, Monroe County, Pennsylvania. This document was prepared in accordance with Department of the Army (Army) Regulations (AR) 200-1 and Department of Defense (DoD) Instruction 4715.16, and it outlines Army policies, procedures, and responsibilities for meeting cultural resources compliance and management requirements. The ICRMP serves as a component of the TYAD Master Plan, complements other facility plans, identifies compliance actions, and allows for ready identification of potential conflicts between TYAD's mission and cultural resources. While the TYAD Commander and Cultural Resources Manager (CRM) are responsible for the management of cultural resources, this plan is intended for use by all personnel at TYAD involved in planning activities.

This ICRMP revises the Draft 2008 ICRMP and will remain in effect for a period of five years from the date of approval. The previous ICRMP was not finalized, and there is no ratified Programmatic Agreement (PA) for implementing the ICRMP. This ICRMP revision presents updated information, including revised federal regulations, and addresses the status of both general and specific goals identified in the previous ICRMP for the five-year period of coverage. This ICRMP revision addresses several of the 2008 goals in completing the following:

- Preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA) and a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), as required for ICRMP implementation.
- Evaluation of TYAD in its entirety to determine its eligibility for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as a historic district.
- Architectural inventory and individual NRHP eligibility recommendations for buildings and structures constructed between ca. 1925 and 2011.
- Preparation of a historic context of TYAD's roles and activities from its establishment to the present.

**Current Status of Archaeology.** The Sherman Farm Site (36MR0158) was recorded at TYAD in 1997, and determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP. No Traditional Cultural Places (TCPs), sacred sites, or additional sites have been identified at the installation. TYAD completed a Phase IA archaeological assessment in 2012 to estimate archaeological sensitivity for untested portions of TYAD. The overall precontact and historical-era archaeological sensitivity at TYAD is considered to be low due to Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) areas and ground disturbance, which ranges from moderate to severe. Based on the extent of ground disturbance, results of subsurface testing in 1995, recent field reconnaissance, and review of pre-1962 structures, several areas contain no archaeological sensitivity. Four areas do contain archaeological resource sensitivity; however, these areas are located within known UXO areas, and no further investigation may be conducted.

**Current Status of Historic Structures.** TYAD also requested a historic resources survey and architectural inventory of 170 buildings in 2012, as well as the development of a historic context within which to evaluate TYAD in its entirety as a historic district. TYAD was determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP in 2012 due to a loss of integrity. A multitude of changes have occurred throughout the property since the time of its reinstallation as an Army depot in 1953, compromising the property's ability to convey its significance as a critical link in Army

---

operations during the Cold War (1946 to 1989). In addition, no resources within TYAD are individually eligible, nor do they retain exceptional significance to be deemed eligible under NRHP Criteria Consideration G for properties that have achieved significance within the past 50 years.

**Cultural Resources Compliance Actions: Fiscal Years 2013 to 2018.** In addition to ongoing Cultural Resources Management Program (CRM Program) responsibilities, projects that might be necessary due to mission changes or master planning initiatives, natural resource management plans, or major maintenance programs could trigger the need for cultural resources compliance actions, including:

- Consultation with the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission (PHMC) if archaeologically sensitive areas will be impacted.
- Agreements with curation facilities if artifacts are collected.
- Initiation of Native American consultation if archaeologically sensitive areas will be impacted.
- Development of agreement documents for a specific project or types of actions. In particular, a PA regarding the management of archaeological resources at TYAD should be developed to streamline Section 106 review.
- Initiation of public involvement required under the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) if archaeologically sensitive areas will be impacted.