DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM¹ **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): February 12, 2018
- B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: NAO 2017-2178
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

	State	: Virginia County/parish/borough: Spotsylvania City: Click here to enter text.		
	Cente	center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.261364 °, Long77.589504 °		
		Universal Transverse Mercator: 18N-273,440m East-4,237,86m North		
	Name	ne of nearest waterbody: Ni River ne of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 2080105		
	Name			
	V	Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.		
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):			
		Office (Desk) Determination. Date: Click here to enter a date.		
	V	Field Determination. Date(s): February 8, 2018		

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFP part 328) in the review area

SEC

There are no waters of the U.S. within Clean water F	Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFK part 326) in the review area.
SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.	
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (cl requested, appropriately reference sources below):	neck all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on	pehalf of the applicant/consultant:
"Waters of the U.S. (Including Wetlands) Del	*
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on beha	••
Office concurs with data sheets/delineati	•
Office does not concur with data sheets/o	•
Data sheets prepared by the Corps: <i>Click here</i>	
U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: Cli	ck here to enter text.
USGS NHD data.	
USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.	
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &	quad name: 1"=2000', Salem Church, VA 1988.
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Spotsylvania County Digital Data	
National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Updated May 2016	
State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Click here to enter text.	
FEMA/FIRM maps: Panels 5103080075C effective 2/18/1998	
[100-year Floodplain Elevation is: Click here to	enter text. (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
Photographs:	oring 1994 Color Infrared Imagery and Winter 2017 Natural Color Imagery
or 🔽 Other (Name & Date): Gr	ound level photographs taken on 12/19/2017.
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of	of response letter: Click here to enter text.
Applicable/supporting case law: Click here to e	nter text.
Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Ch	ck here to enter text.
Other information (please specify): Click here	to enter text.

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: Click here to enter text.

¹ This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.

The new "Dry Land Approved JD Form" to be used when making the determination that the review area is comprised entirely of dry land.

There was some discussion during the webinar Q&A about the dry land approved JD form not being appropriate for use when there are "potential wetlands" in the JD review area. The key is that we cannot use the dry land approved JD form when there is a potential jurisdictional feature within the JD review area. A potential jurisdictional feature includes a feature that appears to be a wetland and requires data points to confirm the presence of a wetland (which would then require a determination as to its jurisdictional status). The dry land form can be used where a sample point does not identify any of the three wetland parameters. The full-length post-Rapanos approved JD form should continue to be used where a sample point positively identifies at least one of the three wetland parameters. Some sites may not require any data points at all when it is clear from off-site/on-site information that no potential wetlands are present.