# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

## A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): February 21, 2018

## B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: NAO-2018-00347 Quail Ridge Property

ъ.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FIEL NAME, AND NUMBER AND PROPERTY
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: Virginia County/parish/borough: Loudoun City:  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.95028° N, Long. 77.555618° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 18N - 278,534m East - 4,314,365m North  Name of nearest waterbody: Quail Ridge Lake Distance: 0.00 ft.  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Potomac River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 02070008  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date:  Field Determination. Date(s): April 5, 2018
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: 3,020 linear feet: width (ft) and/or 0.62 acres.  Wetlands: 11.95 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup> Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:  .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1	TNV	V

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

## 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 16,905 acres
Drainage area: 252 acres

Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

## (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 15-20 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: 2 feet to Lenah Run to Broad Run to unnamed waterbody to Potomac River - (16.8 miles length).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

		Tributary stream order, if known: .
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is:
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: 3 feet  Average depth: feet  Average side slopes: 2:1.
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:  Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:  Tributary geometry: Relatively straight  Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
	(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 6-10 Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
		Surface flow is: <b>Discrete and confined.</b> Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		Tributary has (check all that apply):  ☐ Bed and banks ☐ OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply): ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ shelving ☐ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent ☐ leaf litter disturbed or washed away ☐ sediment deposition ☐ water staining ☐ other (list): ☐ Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:  ☐ Bed and banks ☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation ☐ the presence of wrack line ─ sediment sorting ─ sediment sorting ─ multiple observed or predicted flow events ─ abrupt change in plant community ─ other (list): ☐ Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:  ☐ .
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):    High Tide Line indicated by:
(iii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: tracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Fair. https://doi.org/10.1001/phi/1

<sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

	(iv)	Biol	ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Mostly herbaceous vegetation, small amount of forested buffer,
200'	feet	wide	
			Wetland fringe. Characteristics: PFO, PEM. Habitat for:
		Ш	Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other proving montally consisting graphics. Findings:
			☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Chi	aract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		sical Characteristics:  General Wetland Characteristics:
		(4)	Properties:
			Wetland size:11.95 acres
			Wetland type. Explain: PFO, PEM, two empoundments. Wetland quality. Explain: Fair.
			Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
			Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: .
			Surface flow is: Discrete and confined
			Characteristics: .
			Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .
			Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
			☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting
			Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Three wetlands are very close to, but are not abutting the lake
			tream. One PFO wetland is just upslope from a jurisdictional wetland system. Because of their proximity in the landscape
to tn	e ne	arest	jurisdictional feature, a hydrologic connection is assumed.   ——————————————————————————————————
			Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
		. ,	Project wetlands are 15-20 river miles from TNW.
			Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.
			Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the <b>Pick List</b> floodplain.
	(ii)	Che	emical Characteristics:
	•		racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed
		Ider	characteristics; etc.). Explain: https://example.com/restrictions/specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii)	) Bio!	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):Mostly herbaceous vegetation, small amount of forested buffer,
200'	feet	wide	•
		$\bowtie$	Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: PFO and PEM, 100% cover. Two empoundments, 100% open water. Habitat for:
		_	Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other provises monthly consisting species. Explain findings:
			☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha	aract	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 30 (or more)

Approximately (11.95) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Three wetlands are very close to, but are not abutting the lake or perennial stream. One PFO wetland is just upslope from a jurisdictional wetland system. Because of their proximity in the landscape to the nearest jurisdictional feature, a hydrologic connection is assumed.

## D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TN ws and Adjacent wetlands	. Cneck all that apply	and provide size estimates in review area:
	TNWs: linear feet	width (ft), Or,	acres.
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs:	acres.	
•	DDW ded Co. Park and a const	·	

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

TNIW - - - 1 A 1 - - - - 4 W - 41 - - 1 - - - - - - - - - 1 - - 1 - - 1

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Labeled as perennial stream on USGS Quad and confirmed during field visit.
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Stream assessments were conducted which concluded that Stream Reach 1-A and 2-A are intermittent, the third intermittent stream had no flow during the field visit, therefore was intermittent.

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: 3,020 linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	<ul> <li>Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</li> <li>Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.</li> <li>Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: The wetland flows into or shares a common boundary with the perennial stream.</li> <li>Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: The wetland flows into or shares a common boundary with the intermittent stream.</li> </ul>
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 11.86 acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: <b>0.09</b> acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  □ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  □ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  □ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SUC SUC SUC	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:

E.

 <sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Other factors. Explain: .		
	Identify water body and summarize rationale su	pporting detern	mination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the re Tributary waters: linear feet width Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.		k all that apply):
F.	Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropria  Review area included isolated waters with no Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court dec "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).	review area, these te Regional Supposession in "SWANC"	see areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers plements. s to interstate (or foreign) commerce. CC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the
cons CFF 4121	Other: (explain, if not covered above): Water ted on dry land incidental to construction activit idered jurisdictional waters of the U.S. until the R Section 328.3 in the "Final Rule for the Regular	-filled depressionies, or pits excave construction or cory Programs of	uch a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: ons, such as the one in the northern portion of the site, that were wated in dry land to obtain fill, sand, or gravel, are not generally excavation operation is abandoned per the commentary for 33 of the Corps of Engineers" (Fed. Reg. Vol. 51, No. 219, Pg. urge pile of bedrock debris to one side of the water-filled
	factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence		w area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR pecies, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional
	judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):  Lakes/ponds: acres.	linear feet	width (ft).
		rpe of aquatic res	source: .
	a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):  Lakes/ponds: acres.		w area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such width (ft).
SEC	TION IV: DATA SOURCES.		
		ack all that ann	oly - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
A. K	and requested, appropriately reference sources below  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on below  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behow  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation	ow): sehalf of the appl of of the applicant of report.	licant/consultant:Attachement I. nt/consultant.
	Office does not concur with data sheets/de Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.		
	<ul> <li>☑ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; our</li> <li>☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Services</li> <li>☑ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite nan</li> <li>☑ State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> </ul>	ce Soil Survey. C	Citation: .
	FEMA/FIRM maps:Panel 51107C0360D, effection in the second	nal Geodectic Ve	Tertical Datum of 1929) frared Imagery and Spring 2017 natural color image, both from
	VBMP.		anhs, between January 24th and February 2nd, 2018

Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: The boundaries of jurisdictional wetlands and other WOTUS on
adjoining properties to the Quail Ridge Property project site were previously delineated and surveyed by WSSI, as described in the
August 24th, 2004 report entitled "Waters of the U.S. (Including Wetlands) Delineation, Arcola Assemblage (☐ 587.5 acres)". The U.S.
Army Corps of Engineers (COE) issued a jurisdictional determination (JD) verifying the delineated boundaries of these waters of the
U.S. (JD #04-R3046) on November 19th, 2004
Applicable/supporting case law:
Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
Other information (please specify):

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** There is one pond in the southeastern portion of the site, and one lake in the west-central portion of the site. These two impoundments were created on-line in stream channels, therefore are considered jurisdictional waters of the U.S..